

**In The Common Law, Court of Record of
We the People of the United States of America
Tribunal, denny ray hardin, Presiding**

Joseph Robinette Biden, Jr.

donald john trump

Chief Judge James E. Boasberg

All Magistrates and Judges

All United States Attorneys / DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

All Agents of the FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

All UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS within the boundaries of the United States of America.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Columbia

United States of America,

] All Magistrates & Judges

Plaintiff,

] All U.S. Attorneys

] All Agents of the FBI

]

v.

] Case No. All Cases

]

All sovereigns of the Jan 6th

]

Attack on the Capital,

]

Defendants.

]

“Demand For Enforcement”

of

“Judgment of Treason”

COMES NOW, denny ray hardin, sovereign, Tribunal, as a member of the “Body Politic” of “We the people” to “demand the immediate release” of all “Defendants” , of the January 6th protest at the capital, awaiting trial or adjudicated in the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the District of Columbia”. Chief Judge James E. Boasberg has failed to establish the “Jurisdiction” and authority of law for the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the District of Columbia” to engage in “judicial process”. Maxim of God’s Law: “It is the same thing not to be as not to appear. What does not appear on the record is considered nonexistent.”

Note: “Judgment of Treason” can be reviewed on the “Public Record” established as “JANUARY 6ERS JUDGMENT” at <https://Americansrepublicparty.org>.

Lawfare:

The Biden Administration, along with Nancy Pelosi’s January 6th Committee, in cooperation with the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, enforced by the FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION and prosecuted in a fraudulent “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT” prove “Treason” 18 U.S.C.2381 for conducting

“judicial process” without jurisdiction or authority of law to persecute “sovereigns” without a “Complaint” signed by an “injured party”. But before a “Complaint” can be lawfully filed, there has to be a lawful Article III, Constitutional Court to file the “Complaint” in. Based upon the law presented in the “Judgment of Treason”, the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” are Article IV Courts and cannot be given any jurisdiction or authority of law to conduct a “judicial process”. “An institution void in the beginning cannot acquire validity by a subsequent act.” “Things invalid from the beginning cannot be made valid by a subsequent act.” “Part fraud is all fraud”

“Orders for Enforcement”

1. Joseph Robinette Biden, Jr., as “President” and the “Chief Law Enforcement Officer” of the United States of America, is hereby “Ordered” in this Common Law Court of Record to “Order the Release” of all “Defendants” of the January 6th protest held “hostage” by the fraudulent “UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT for the District of Columbia”. The “President” is given ten (10) days from the date of “Delivery” of this “Registered Mail” by the United States Postal Service to hold a Press Conference and order the release of the “hostages”, failure will constitute “Insurrection and Rebellion” 18 U.S.C. 2383 to aid and abet “Treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381.

2. Joseph Robinette Biden, Jr., as “President” and the “Chief Law Enforcement Officer” of the United States of America, is hereby “Ordered” in this Common Law Court of Record to “Order” all “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” to immediately cease and desist all fraudulent “judicial process” , civil and criminal. These Courts are engaged in “Organized Crime” prohibited by R.I.C.O. 18 U.S.C. 1951(a)(b)(1) and (2) and if not stopped pose a threat to “Public Safety” and “National Security”. All “Judges” and “Magistrates” of the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” are declared “Outlaws” and enemies of the People for acting without jurisdiction or authority of law to conduct fraudulent “judicial process”. Failure to stop this “Organized Crime” will constitute “Treason” under Article III, Section 3 for adhering to their enemies, giving them aid and comfort.

3. Joseph Robinette Biden, Jr., as “President” and the “Chief Law Enforcement Officer” of the United States of America, is hereby “Ordered” in this Common Law Court of Record to “Order” Congress to cease and desist all business of government until Article III, Constitutional Courts are restored to the American People. Lawfare is a violation of the 5th Amendment Right to “due process of law” for allowing fraudulent courts to prosecute under “fiction of law” that denies rights, privileges and immunities secured to all “sovereigns” by the “Constitution of the United States of America”. Failure to enforce the “Constitution of the United States of America” will constitute a violation of Article VI for violation of the

“Oath of Office” by all those who refuse to enforce these “Constitutional Mandates”.

4. Joseph Robinette Biden, Jr., as “President” and the “Chief Law Enforcement Officer” of the United States of America, is hereby “Ordered” in this Common Law Court of Record to “Order” the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE and FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION to cease and desist all “criminal prosecutions” without a complaint required by Rule 3(of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure) signed by an injured party and named “Plaintiff” of the cause of action, in compliance with the 5th Amendment Right to due process of law. No government employee can sign a “Complaint” it must be signed by a “sovereign” acting as an “injured party”. Failure to do so, will constitute the following crimes:

“Treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381

“Conspiracy against rights” 18 U.S.C. 241

“Deprivation of rights under color of law” 18 U.S.C. 242

Violations of the 1st, and 5th Amendments in breach of employment contract (Oath of office).

“Insurrection and Rebellion” 18 U.S.C. 2383

“Fraud” 18 U.S.C. 1001

“Perjury” 18 U.S.C. 1621

“Obstruction of Justice” 18 U.S.C. 1503

“Judgment of Treason”

Tribunal, as a disinterested party, rendered “Judgment” of these cases on behalf of all “sovereigns”, named as “Defendants” of January 6th protest, being held “hostage” by the fraudulent “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the District of Columbia”, being fraudulently prosecuted without jurisdiction or authority of law, by Merrick Garland’s “DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE” , through his “agents” of the “U.S. ATTORNEY’S OFFICE” based upon fraudulent “Complaints” generated by Christopher A. Wray, through his “agents” of the “FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION”. All acts of the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” are “Treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381, as Article IV, fraudulent Courts, that have “usurped” “Jurisdiction” 18 U.S.C. 3231 from the “District Courts of the United States”, Article III Constitutional Courts, as established by Supreme Court Precedence as follows:

“Jurisdiction”

18 U.S.C. 3231

“The district courts of the United States shall have original jurisdiction, exclusive of the courts of the States, of all offenses against the laws of the United States.”

Supreme Court Precedence:

"The United States District Court is not a true United States Court established under Article III of the Constitution to administer the Judicial power of the United States therein conveyed. It is created by virtue of the sovereign congressional faculty, granted under 4,3, of that instrument, of making all needful rules and regulations respecting the territory belonging to the United States. The resemblance of its jurisdiction to that of true United States courts in offering an opportunity to non-residents of resorting to a tribunal not subject to local influence, does not change its character as a mere territorial court." [Balzac v. Porto Rico, 258 U.S. 298, 43 S.Ct. 343 (1922) Emphasis added]

"The term 'District Court of the United States', as used in the rules, without an addition expressing a wider connotation, has its historic significance. It describe the Constitutional courts created under Article 3 of the Constitution. Courts of the Territories are legislative courts, properly speaking and are not District Courts of the United States. We have often held that vesting a territorial court with jurisdiction similar to that vested in the District Courts of the United States does not make it a District Court of the United States. Reynolds v. United States, 98 U.S. 145, 154, 25 L.ed 1041; The City of Panama, 101 U.S. 453, 460, 25 L.Ed 1061; In re Mills, 135 U.S. 263, 268, 10 S.Ct. 762, 34 L.Ed 107; McAllister v.

United States, 141 U.S. 174, 182, 11 S.Ct. 949, 35 L.Ed 693; *Stephens v. Cherokee Nation*, 174 U.S. 445, 476, 477, 19 S.Ct. 722, 43 L.Ed 1041; *Summers v. United States*, 231 U.S. 92. 101, 102, 34 S.Ct. 38, 52 L.Ed3 137; *United States v. Burroughs*, 289 U.S. 159, 163, 53 S.Ct. 574, 77 L.Ed 1096. Not only did the promulgating order use the term District Courts of the United States in its historic and proper sense, but the omission of provision for application of the rules to the territorial courts and other courts mentioned in the authorizing act clearly shows the limitation that was intended." [*Mookini v. U.S.* 201, 58 S.Ct. 543 (1938)]

Right to “due process of law”:

Every “Sovereign” of the United States of America is entitled to “due process of law” administered by a Court of proper jurisdiction operating under its Article III, Constitutional authority. The “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” are Article IV courts and therefore cannot administer “due process of law” required by the 5th Amendment. All acts of these Article IV Courts are “legislative acts” whether issuance of warrant, arraignment, prosecution, or judgment are all “Bills of Attainder” prohibited by Article I, Section 9 of the “Constitution of the United States of America” therefore there is no possibility of the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” to establish “Jurisdiction” to conduct any “judicial process”.

Law in support:

In the Judicial Code 1911, 36 Stat. 1087 - 1169 abolished the "District Courts of the United States" and replaced them with the Legislative courts "United States District Courts". The difference between the two is Legislative vs Judicial. All Legislative courts are fraud, claiming the authority to conduct a judicial process.

"Bill of attainder. Legislative acts, no matter what their form, that apply either to named individuals or to easily ascertainable members of a group in such a way as to inflict punishment on them without a judicial trial. *United States v. Brown*, 381 U.S. 437, 448-49, 85 S.Ct. 1707, 1715, 14 L.Ed 484, 492; *United States v. Lovett*, 328 U.S. 303, 315, 66 S.Ct. 1073, 1079, 90 L.Ed 1252. An act is a "bill of pains and penalties" when the punishment is less severe; both kinds Section 9 Cl. 3 (as to Congress); Art. I, Sect. 10 (as to State Legislatures)." [Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition p. 165]

Black's Law Dictionary, Eighth Edition Page 137. – attainder "1. At common law, the act of extinguishing a person's civil rights when that person is sentenced to death or declared an outlaw for committing a felony or treason." "The word attainder is derived from the Latin term *attinctus*, signifying stained or polluted and includes in its meaning all those disabilities which flow from a capital sentence. On the attainder, the defendant is disqualified to be a witness in any court, he can

bring no action, nor perform any of the legal functions which before he was admitted to discharge; he is, in short, regarded as dead in law.”

“We [Judges] have no more right to decline the exercise of jurisdiction which is given, than to usurp that which is not given. The one or the other would be treason to the Constitution.” U.S. v. Will, 449 U.S. 200, 216, 101 S.Ct. 471, 66L.Ed.2d, 392, 406 (1980); COHENS v. VIRGINIA 19 U.S. 264,404, 5L.Ed. 257, 6 Wheat, 264 (1821).

"But when a judge knows that he lacks jurisdiction, or acts in the face of clearly valid statutes or case law expressly depriving him of jurisdiction, judicial immunity is lost. See *Bradly v. Fisher*, 80 U.S. (13 Wall) at 351 ("when the want of jurisdiction is known to the judge, no excuse is permissible") *Turner v. Raynes*, 611 F.2d 92.95 (5th Cir 1980) (Stump is consistent with the view that "a clearly inordinate exercise of unconfirmed jurisdiction by a judge - one so crass as to establish that he embarked on it either knowingly or recklessly - subjects him to personal liability')." [*Rankin v. Howard*, 633 F.2d 844 (1980)].

“Sovereignty”

All of “We the people of the United States of America” are “Sovereign”, who were born in a state, to a parent born in a state of the United States of America. If you are a government employee, you are not “sovereign”, you are a “Citizen”.

Only a “sovereign” can speak upon the opinion of “We the people of the United States of America” through the “Constitution of the United States of America” and the “Laws” of the United States of America. In this “Situation” the “sovereign” donald john trump is superior to the inferior “Citizen” Joseph Robinette Biden, Jr. if he chooses to speak as a “sovereign”, it is always the sovereigns’ right to speak or remain silent in support of truth. Sovereignty is a “Constitutional Right” of every member of “We the people of the United States of America”, as follows:

Constitution of the United States of America

Article IV,

Section 4. Republican form of government guaranteed. – The United States shall guarantee to every state in this Union a republican form of government,...

Note: republican is an adjective describing a “Republic”.

Republic, n. “A system of government in which the people hold sovereign power and elect representatives that exercise that power.”

“Sovereignty of Defendants”

To be “Sovereign” in the United States of America, one must be born in a state, to a parent born in the state of the United States of America. Maxim: “No one who is ignorant of a thing is bound to give information of it, but everyone is bound to

know what he gives information of.” All “Defendants” being held “hostage” by the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the District of Columbia” are being denied “due process of law” required by the 5th Amendment. They have been unlawfully “seized” without lawful warrant, based upon fraudulent “Indictments” in violation of the 4th Amendment. They are being tortured under “Color of Law” in violation of the 8th Amendment that prohibits cruel and unusual punishment. This treason is being conducted with malice, intent and knowledge, with malicious intent, to persecute, torture and damage a class of “sovereigns” known as “Trump Supporters”. All “Defense Attorneys” are complicit in this “Organized Crime” for refusal to lawfully challenge the “jurisdiction” of “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” thus allowing them to engage in “Treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381 for acting without jurisdiction or authority of law to conduct fraudulent “judicial process” under the “color of law”. “Ignorance of those things that anyone is bound to know does not excuse.” “Ignorance of fact is excused but not ignorance of law.” “Ignorance of fact excuses; ignorance of law does not excuse. Every person must be considered cognizant of the law; otherwise, there is no limit to the excuse of ignorance.” “The ignorance of the judge is the misfortune of the innocent.” “Ignorance of the law does not excuse.” “Ignorance of the law, which everyone is bound to know, excuses no one.” “Ignorance of one’s right does not prejudice the

right.” “Ignorance is presumed where knowledge is not proved.” “To be ignorant of the law is gross neglect of it.”

“Final Argument as Justification for Action”

Maxim:

“The part is bad that does not accord with its whole.”

In this cause of action, the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” are not a part of the “Judicial Branch”. Federal Rules of Court establish jurisdiction for the “District Courts of the United States” Balzac v. PortoRico and Mookini v. U.S. of the Supreme Court Precedence presented establishes this dispositive fact. 18 U.S.C. 1295 gives jurisdiction to the “United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit” to hear appeals from the “District Courts of the United States”. There is no authority to hear an appeal from the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS”. These rogue courts have operated in fraud, by usurping jurisdiction of the “District Courts of the United States” 18 U.S.C. 3231. Because of these facts, the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” are bad, they have no jurisdiction, no authority of law, no authority to conduct a judicial process and no right to exist in the “Judicial Branch” of the “United States of America”. It is time their reign of terror ended and justice was restored to all “sovereigns” of the United States of America. There is no lawful Federal Courts to file a lawful complaint in, this must

be restored by Congress to re-establish Article III Constitutional Courts, by bringing back “District Courts of the United States of America”, where all “sovereigns” have equal rights, privileges and immunities under the “Constitution of the United States of America” and the Law of the United States of America. After all, we are a nation of laws.

Questions Posed:

Who do you serve? Do you serve the interests of the Democratic Party or the Republican Party? Were you elected to serve these interests or were you elected to serve the interests of the American People who pay your salaries? Where do your loyalties lie, with politicians or the American People? By your deeds, let your character be known to all “sovereigns” of the United States of America and let your Character determine if you are worthy of the position you currently hold.

“Summation”

There is no authority of law left to enforce the “Constitution of the United States of America” all have been exhausted. Seven challenges to jurisdiction were presented to “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” all were dismissed by the “Clerk of the Court”. Seven challenges to jurisdiction were presented to the “United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit” all were dismissed by the “Clerk of the Court”. Seven “Writs of Habeas Corpus” challenging jurisdiction

were filed in the “Supreme Court of the United States of America” all were dismissed by the “Clerk of the Court”. These “Public Institutions” all share the “Legal Duty” to provide due process of law required by the 5th Amendment and enforce the 1st Amendment Right of all “sovereigns” to petition government for redress of grievances”. All have failed to perform their “Legal Duty”. Only the “President” as the “Chief Law Enforcement Officer” can restore “Law and Order” to all “sovereigns” of the United States of America.

“Conclusion”

Because this unlawful “Lawfare” is being conducted, within the United States of America, it is necessary to give both sides, Democrat and Republican, the knowledge of this “Organized Crime” to allow all “sovereigns” to determine who should lead our nation as the 47th President of the United States of America.


Therefore, this “Demand For Enforcement” is being served on “President” Joseph Robinette Biden, Jr. for “Democrats” and donald john trump for “Republicans”.

There is no greater responsibility of any government employee than that to secure “Public Safety” and “National Security” to all “sovereigns” of the United States of America by equal enforcement of our laws.

God’s Law is clear. “Let my people go.”

It is so "Ordered" on this 22nd day of April, in the year of our Lord 2024,
Tribunal, denny ray hardin, now adjourns this Common Law Court of Record of
"We the people of the United States of America".

In God we Trust.


denny ray hardin, sovereign, Tribunal
2450 Elmwood Avenue
Kansas City, Missouri 64127
(816)522-5587

CC.

Donald John Trump
C/O Executive Offices
115 Eagle Tree Terrace
Jupiter, Florida 33477

Notice to the Agent is notice to the Principal.

Notice to the Principal is notice to the Agent.