

“In The Supreme Court of Missouri”

denny ray hardin,] Chief Justice, Mary R. Russell
.] Clerk, Betsy AuBuchon
.] Plaintiff
.]
vs] Case Number: denied to date
.] Received: November 13, 2023
UNITED STATES, INC.,]
STATE OF MISSOURI,]
JACKSON COUNTY, MISSOURI]
.]
.] Defendants.

Prayer:

My Heavenly Father,

Please guide me to create a document that will be honored by all as truth, law and justice to create peace among all sovereigns of our land. Please silence my voice of vengeance and allow my plight for peace to be understood by all. Please let those who are your servants condemn the conduct of those who refuse to honor Your law, our Constitutions and the morals, ethics and principles that all your children should live by. Let me present the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth to the best of my knowledge and ability. Please guide me to Your will in this struggle to restore justice, protect rights and re-establish public safety. Please allow this truth to be known and supported by the majority of Your children. These things I ask, in the name of Jesus Christ my Savior, Amen.

An Exposition For “Final Decree of Default Judgment”

COMES NOW, denny ray hardin, sovereign to end the corruption of the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS, by showing just cause for their abolishment. To date Plaintiff produced an “Original Petition for Damages” establishing the “dispositive fact” these are Article IV courts with no jurisdiction or authority of law to conduct judicial process. The foundation of law was established in “Remonstrance Breach of Contract” titled “So the law is written.” showing the authorities relied upon that support this cause of action. This Exposition will show you the conduct of these Legislative Courts, so you can see exactly what you are protecting by your silence. My case is not unique, though mine was extreme because of my sovereignty, the criminal conduct is the common practice of these courts. Because Plaintiff abolished all BAR Associations within the United States of America, by “Bill of Attainder” filed in this “Chancery Court”, a court of record, on March 3rd, 2023, there are no more attorneys or titles of nobility. Therefore, this case is presented to the six “Sovereigns” who make up the “Supreme Court of Missouri” the supreme authority of law within the boundaries of Missouri as an Article III Court. Every Court within the United States of America is required to be an Article III Court, with every judge and justice swearing an “Oath” to support the “Constitution of the United States of America” as required by Article VI.

“An exposition that springs from the vitals of a cause is the fittest and most powerful in law.”[86]

Authority of Court:

The Article III “Supreme Court of Missouri” was established by the Constitution State of Missouri 1820 which established its control over all inferior courts of law. This Constitution contained the “Constitution of the United States of America” with the original 13th Amendment. This established supreme jurisdiction over UNITED STATES

DISTRICT COURTS and all inferior courts within the boundaries of Missouri. “In the presence of the superior, the power of the inferior ceases.”[179] All decrees, judgments and remedies are inadequate to establish justice in this cause of action. They serve a purpose of condemning the conduct but lack enforcement of law to hold violators accountable. Maxims establish, “In a novel case a new remedy must be applied.”[174] “If you can be relieved by accustomed remedies, new one are not to be tried.”[790] “When a common remedy ceases to be of service, recourse is had to an extraordinary one.”[870] It is the duty of this “Court of Record” to provide justice, remedy and enforcement of law in this cause of action. “We shall sell to no one, deny to no one, or delay to no one equity or justice.”[402] “Let no one depart from a court of chancery without remedy.” [410] “One never resorts to the extraordinary but when the ordinary fails.”[414] Because Plaintiff has established a “Court of Equity” (“Bill of Equity by Affidavit” filed 3/4/2019) that began this cause of action and elevated Case No. 1916CV05668 to a “Court of Chancery”. Plaintiff asserts a combination of “Equitable Relief”, “Equitable Remedy”, “Judgment of Liability” and “Penal Liability” are necessary to establish “Justice” in this cause of action.

Definition: [Source: All definitions are from Black’s Law Dictionary, 8th Edition.]

dispositive fact. 2. A fact that is decisive of a legal matter; evidence that definitively resolves a legal issue or controversy.

The “Original Petition for Damages” established the “dispositive fact” that “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” usurped jurisdiction granted to “District Courts of the United States” 18 U.S.C. 3231 and engaged in “Treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381 by conducting “judicial process” without “jurisdiction”. “False in one thing, false in everything.”[98] “The appointment of judges is by the king, but their ordinary jurisdiction is by the law.”[51] “An institution void in the beginning cannot acquire validity by a subsequent act.”[550] “Thing invalid from the beginning cannot be made valid by a subsequent act.”[551] This conduct caused “faults” in this cause of action.

faults defined:

fault. 1. An error or defect of judgment or of conduct; any deviation from prudence or duty resulting from inattention, incapacity, perversity, bad faith, or mis-management. SEE NEGLIGENCE. Cf. LIABILITY.

2. Civil law. The intentional or negligent failure to maintain some standard of conduct when that failure results in harm to another person.

contractual fault. Civil law. Fault resulting from intentional or negligent failure to perform an enforceable obligation in a contract.

delictual fault. Civil law. Fault resulting from intentional or negligent misconduct that violates a legal duty.

Supporting Definitions:

fiduciary. 1. A person who is required to act for the benefit of another person on all matters within the scope of their relationship; one who owes to another the duties of good faith, trust, confidence and candor <a corporate officer is a fiduciary to the corporation>.

Note: A judge or justice is a fiduciary of all sovereigns of the United States of America by their "Oath of Office" and their employment by taxpayers. Establishing contract.

neglect. 1. The omission of proper attention to a person or thing, whether inadvertent, negligent, or willful; the act or condition of disregarding.

negligence. 1. The failure to exercise the standard of care that a reasonably prudent person would have exercised in a similar situation; any conduct that falls below the legal standard established to protect others against unreasonable risk of harm, except for conduct that is intentionally, wantonly, or willfully disregarding of others' rights.

2. A tort grounded in this failure, usu, expressed in terms of the following elements; duty, breach of duty, causation and damages.

criminal negligence. Gross negligence so extreme that it is punishable as a crime.

gross negligence. 1. A lack of slight diligence or care. 2. A conscious, voluntary act or omission in reckless disregard of a legal duty and of the consequences to another party, who may typically recover exemplary damages.

liability. 1. The quality or state of being legally obligated or accountable; legal responsibility to another or to society, enforceable by civil remedy or criminal punishment <liability for injuries caused by negligence>.

penal liability. Liability arising from a proceeding intended at least partly to penalize a wrongdoer.

personal liability. Liability for which one is personally accountable and for which a wronged party can seek satisfaction out of the wrongdoer's personal assets.

remedial liability. Liability arising from a proceeding whose object contains no penal element. The two types of proceedings giving rise to this liability are specific enforcement and restitution.

“Sequence of Faults”

Deeds:

A. This cause of action began on November 4th 2008 with the exercise of a fraudulent search warrant, issued without probable cause, by Robert E. Larsen, in violation of the 4th Amendment. In response Plaintiff filed a “Criminal Complaint” of “Bank Robbery” 18 U.S.C. 2113 against Robert E. Larsen, magistrate, Brian P. Casey, U.S. Attorney and Nathan Holms Van Sickle, FBI Agent. This complaint was ignored thus establishing “Conspiracy against rights” 18 U.S.C. 241, “Deprivation of rights under color of law” 18 U.S.C. 242 and “Treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381 for acting without jurisdiction to dismiss a “Criminal Complaint by Affidavit” in violation of the 5th Amendment Right to due

process of law. This conduct established fault 1 and 2, contractual fault and delictual fault.

“Injuries Caused by Deed”

Robert E. Larsen violated the 4th Amendment by issuing a search warrant without probable cause. This fraudulent search warrant (deed) allowed FBI Agent Nathan Holms Van Sickle to use Kansas City S.W.A.T. to raid my home, rob my bank and assault my daughters, to establish a fraudulent claim of acting with authority of law. The fraudulent search warrant allowed seizure of bank records, but the “police powers” exercised were without limit, they destroyed my home, stole money from my Bible, broke many things, stole my computers and many personal items. This was armed invasion of my home under the color of law. It began by throwing my daughters to the ground with cocked guns in their faces, then seizing my house for 5 hours to destroy my home.

Background:

This began with Missouri Probation Officer, Hope Peterson, State Actor. As a condition of my probation, I was required to report my income every month, when I reported \$10,000 (about) and showed the ledger of the “Private Bank of Denny Ray Hardin”, she informed me this is fraud and you can’t do it. The next time I reported to my probation officer, upon leaving I was surrounded by FBI Agents, who seized my ledger (Bank Robbery 18 U.S.C. 2113) and I was informed a search warrant was being exercised at my house.

Definition:

private bank. An unincorporated banking institution owned by an individual or partnership and depending on state statutes, subject to or free from state regulation.

[Black’s Law Dictionary, Eighth Edition, page 155]

This raid ended with FBI Agent Van Sickle informing me that I should cease banking until they have completed their investigation. Plaintiff informed him, “I will stop when you show me a law that I have violated.” If looks could kill, I would be dead. The look of hate in FBI Agent Van Sickle’s eye told me I had created an enemy. The next morning my computers were replaced and I continued engaging in commerce. Plaintiff filed a “Criminal Complaint by Affidavit” for “Bank Robbery” 18 U.S.C. 2113, but Robert E. Larsen, magistrate, Brian P. Casey, U.S. Attorney and Nathan Holms Van Sickle, FBI Agent could not be held accountable for their crimes.

Right to Justice:

The FBI raid destroyed the sanctity of our home and has caused us to live in fear every day that it could happen again. The armed criminal invasion fraudulently sanctioned by an imposter magistrate that allowed the FBI to commit “Bank Robbery” 18 U.S.C 2113 has forever made our home vulnerable. This terrorism of the FBI has instilled fear in me and my family that will never be erased because those sworn to protect us were utilized under false pretenses to violate our rights to be secure in our person, home and property, secured by the 4th Amendment has failed. FBI use of “police powers” is prohibited by Article XI, Section 3 of the “Constitution State of Missouri” and all FBI Agents should be banished from the boundaries of the “State of Missouri” in the interests of “Public Safety”.

Punitive Damages:

Plaintiff believes “punitive damages” should be set so high that the FBI will never seek another warrant without probable cause (signed complaint) only a sovereign can sign a complaint as an injured party.

Maxims:

“What is done, cannot be undone.”[68]

“The servant’s wrongdoing reaches the master. The master is liable for injury done by his servant.”[169]

B. FBI Agent Van Sickle utilized the unlawfully seized records to locate Plaintiff’s clients, all over the nation, where FBI Agents went to their homes and used threats, intimidation and coercion to solicit a “Complaint” against Plaintiff. All refused to sign a complaint and notified Plaintiff of FBI contact. From November 4th 2008 until August 12th 2009 Plaintiff operated in Commerce, producing about 2,900 “Bonded Promissory Notes” paying off about \$168,000,000 of sovereigns’ debts. This was done under “Contract” with the “Secretary of the Treasury” and the “New York City Federal Reserve Bank”. Because the FBI could not obtain a complaint, FBI Agent Van Sickle went to the Jackson County Prosecuting Attorney and together they went to Circuit Judge W. Stephen Nixon to violate Plaintiff’s probation. On August 12th 2009 the Prosecuting Attorney, FBI Agent Van Sickle and Judge Nixon walked out of chambers together and proceeded to violate my probation, without complaint, without injured party, without jurisdiction or authority of law, and without evidence of a crime W. Stephen Nixon “Ordered” (Deed) Plaintiff to prison establishing “contractual fault”. Probation is a “contract” establishing the one on probation will not violate the terms of the “contract”. Plaintiff did not violate the terms of the contract. These facts establish “Organized Crime” prohibited by R.I.C.O. 18 U.S.C. 1951(a)(b)(1) and (2) for interference with commerce by threats and violence. This conduct established fault 1 and 2, contractual fault and delictual fault in violation of the 5th Amendment right to due process of law.

Note: Circuit Judge John M. Torrence, who presided over the original trial and imposed probation and was responsible to hear evidence that violated probation. Because Plaintiff exposed “treason” of Circuit Judge John M. Torrence, he put Plaintiff in the JACKSON COUNTY JAIL on a \$50,000 bond with malicious intent to keep Plaintiff incarcerated until trial. Plaintiff made bond in two hours. The failure of John M. Torrence to hear the probation violation established “delictual fault”.

“Injuries Caused by Deed”

Van Sickle could not get an arrest warrant because he lacked a complaint signed by an injured party. So FBI Agents all over the country went to my client’s homes, whose addresses were illegally seized during the raid, to solicit a “complaint”. The FBI Agents’ first ploy was to declare I had injured them and all they needed was a complaint to prosecute me. When my clients refused to sign a complaint, the FBI resorted to threats, intimidation and terrorism trying to force them to sign a complaint. All refused to sign and challenged the FBI Agents by asking, “Why aren’t you investigating Commercial Banks for “Bank Fraud”, “Mortgage Fraud”, “Embezzlement” and “Extortion”?”

Current with above:

Plaintiff’s “Bonded Promissory Notes” were being refused by some commercial banks in clear acts of “interference with commerce by threats and violence” prohibited by R.I.C.O. 18 U.S.C. 1951(a)(b)(1) and (2). In response Plaintiff filed complaints with the “Federal Trade Commission”, “Comptroller of the Currency” and the “Securities Exchange Commission” for “Bank Fraud” and “Mortgage Fraud”. Plaintiff informed the “Commercial Banks” all you have to do is process the note, receive the funds, credit the account and release the debt. This standard business practice was changed by FBI Agent Van Sickle into the crime of “fictitious obligations” which was part of Plaintiff’s “Indictment”. The problem was “Commercial Banks” had sold the deeds amongst themselves and could not produce the deeds for the debts being paid off. After I was in prison, “Commercial Banks” were charged the highest fine in history for “Mortgage Fraud”, the banks I had filed complaints against, credit to the FBI for work done by a sovereign.

Maxims:

The higher classes are more punished in money, but the lower in person.[329]

Everyone is the manager and disposer of his own affairs.[706]

At this point persecuting Plaintiff had become a “vendetta” of FBI Agent Van Sickle who could not arrest me because no one would sign a complaint., so Van Sickle got my probation violated and me thrown in prison to stop the “Private Bank of Denny Ray Hardin”. While in Moberly, STATE OF MISSOURI, prison, FBI Agents came to interview me. I asked, “Do I have to talk to you?” they answered “no”, so I left.

Injuries to sovereign:

The vendetta of Van Sickle was to publically destroy the “sovereign denny ray hardin, this was done with malicious intent of slander, libel and defamation of character in acts of “character assassination”. Van Sickle lied to the “Grand Jury” to get a “fraudulent indictment” based upon his testimony that I had injured hundreds of people by engaging in fraud. A “Grand Jury” is required to examine a “complaint”, hear testimony of an “injured party” and if a crime is present “Indict”. FBI Agent Van Sickle and U.S. Attorney Brian P. Casey obtained a “fraudulent indictment” without “complaint” without “standing”, without “jurisdiction” or “authority of law”. Van Sickle worked diligently to destroy the “Public Reputation” of “Sovereigns”, specifically the sovereign denny ray hardin, by fraudulent press releases, internet posts and lying to anyone who would listen. This was done while Plaintiff was incarcerated and could not defend himself. The public feedback silenced the effort.

Personal Damages:

Because this vendetta was carried out, by an “institution of the Federal government” FBI under the authority of the UNITED STATES, INC. and there is no “penal liability” Plaintiff is entitled to “remedial liability”, with the federal government liable for damages.

Maxims:

“The servant’s wrongdoing reaches the master. The master is liable for injury done by servant.” [169]

“The liability follows the head or person. Liability to make good an injury caused by a slave attaches to the master.”[392]

“A party forcibly deprived of possession ought first of all have restitution.”[810]

Note: Plaintiff was forcibly deprived of his Bank, liberty and freedom.

Dispositive Fact:

The “Private Bank of Denny Ray Hardin” remains in good standing, with clean hands by the “dispositive fact” out of about 2,900 “Bonded Promissory Notes” produced, to date not one has been “Dishonored” and returned to me, as required by law to dishonor, by the “New York City Federal Reserve Bank” the only “Bank” that can legally “dishonor” the “Negotiable Instrument”. The FBI succeeded in shutting the “Private Bank” down.

Right to Justice:

The FBI has fraudulently adopted the bogus term “Law Enforcement Officers” allowing them to fraudulently conduct business under the color of law. The 10th Amendment defeats this claim because the Federal government was not delegated “police powers” but they were delegated power to enforce immigration. Truth establishes the FBI is an “organized crime syndicate” and “racketeering institution” engaged in manipulation of government officials to allow it to violate the “Sovereigns of our Republic”. By interference with commerce, by threats and violence prohibited by R.I.C.O. 18 U.S.C. 1951(a)(b)(1) and (2) the FBI was allowed that which is prohibited by law. Of course, this is using the FBI, an institution to commit illegal acts of criminal conduct, with malicious intent to instill fear in “Sovereigns” of FBI authority under color of law, in violation of law they fraudulently claim to enforce. For these reasons, if I were “Tribunal”, I would “abolish” the FBI and all law enforcement institutions of the federal government and put all the “Agents” under “I.C.E.” with “jurisdiction” over “illegals” only. They have no authority over “Sovereigns”. As a man of honor, and as a sovereign, I cannot act as “Tribunal”, the “Judge” of my own case.

C. After serving 9 months, a maximum security STATE OF MISSOURI prison at Moberly, Missouri. Plaintiff was “Kidnapped” 18 U.S.C. 1201 by FBI Agent Van Sickle, who without warrant used force of arms to seize Plaintiff’s person in violation of the 4th Amendment. This illegal use of “police powers” (Deed) violated Article XI, Section 3 Constitution State of Missouri, by FBI Agent Van Sickle. Plaintiff was taken to FBI Headquarters where he was fingerprinted, photographed and DNA samples were seized without warrant or consent under threat of bodily harm if I refused, in a second violation of the 4th Amendment. Plaintiff was then taken to the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT and turned over to U.S. Marshalls. This conduct established fault 2 and delictual fault.

“Injuries Caused by Deed”

After unlawful incarceration by the STATE OF MISSOURI based upon “Fiction of law” the true persecution began. FBI Agent Van Sickle had no “probable cause” for a “arrest warrant”, so U.S. Marshalls could not arrest Plaintiff. So FBI Agent Van Sickle took matters into his own hands, by claiming authority of law to arrest Plaintiff without warrant based upon a “fraudulent indictment”. FBI Agent Van Sickle illegally exercised “police powers” by kidnapping Plaintiff under threats, duress and coercion to take Plaintiff’s freedom and liberty without warrant, without complaint, without jurisdiction or authority of law. Because other FBI Agents participated in violation of the 4th Amendment by unlawful seizure of finger prints, photographs and DNA samples “Conspiracy against rights” 18 U.S.C. 241, “Deprivation of rights under color of law” 18 U.S.C. 242, “Kidnapping” 18 U.S.C. 1201, “Hostage taking” 18 U.S.C. 1203, and “Treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381 are established by the FBI, as an “Institution” of the Federal government. This wrongful detainment would persist for 13 years, under the color of law.

Right to Justice:

Plaintiff is entitled to Damages for unlawful seizure of liberty and freedom, under fraudulent authority of law, by the FBI. Nathan Holms Van Sickle is accountable under

“penal liability” for his crimes. In the interest of “Public Safety” measures must be taken by the “Supreme Court of Missouri” to protect “Sovereigns” from further abuse of power by the “Organized Crime Syndicate” known as the FBI. Whether this is by monetary penalty, expulsion from Missouri, abolishment or removal of all authority, the action of this Court must be clear with no ambiguity as to the lawful status of the FBI. “Public Safety” requires “protection of law” from those within government acting without authority of law.

Maxims:

“Liberty is the natural power of doing whatever one pleases except what is prevented by law or force.”[248]

“Liberty is a priceless good.”[249]

“Freedom does not admit a valuation.”[250]

“Evil deeds ought not to remain unpunished, and impunity affords continual incitement of wrongdoing.”[252]

“An evil custom ought to be abolished; a bad usage should be abolished.”[253]

“Justice that truly prevents a crime is better than that which severely punishes it.”[256]

D. Plaintiff was put in a cage with glass for visitation, soon Plaintiff was visited by the Court Appointed Attorney Anita Burns. Plaintiff informed her he wanted jurisdiction challenged her response was, “I’m not going to challenge jurisdiction.”(Deed) The appointment of a Defense Attorney is a “contract” to protect the rights, privileges and immunities of the defendant. Plaintiff fired Anita Burns for “Breach of Contract” in open court and Robert E. Larsen left her on the case as standby counsel for the duration of the case. Establishing “contractual fault” and “delictual fault”.

“Injuries Caused by Deed”

By Anita Burns refusing to lawfully challenge the jurisdiction of the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS clearly showed the “Organized Crime of Treason” to allow a fraudulent court to operate without “jurisdiction”. Every Defendant’s defense attorney could have challenged “jurisdiction”, to Plaintiff’s knowledge none did. This shows “complicity” of defense attorneys to allow a fraudulent court to operate under the color of law for their financial profit. This refusal caused Plaintiff to terminate her representation because she was allowing an unlawful arraignment for a fraudulent indictment to continue. Anita Burns’s breach of contract allowed her to profit by Plaintiff’s persecution, by not stopping a fraudulent prosecution by a fraudulent Court she knew lacked “jurisdiction”. Anita Burns acted as an impediment and she was removed.

Maxim:

“When anything is impeded by reason of one thing, when that is removed, the impediment is removed. “[869]

Note: By termination of Anita Burns for negligence and breach of duty, Plaintiff secured the right to challenge “jurisdiction”.

Right to Justice:

To protect “Public Safety” all attorneys should be stripped of their “licenses to practice law” and restore the 6th Amendment Right to assistance of counsel. Counsel today must be a “BAR member” engaged in treason. A “licenses to practice law” is truly a “Letter of Marque” prohibited to be issued by any State by Article I, Section 10 of the “Constitution of the United States of America”. Everyone knowledgeable of law has the right to speak in defense of another. The “Justice System” is a “Public Trust” that should not profit anyone or be monopolized by an “elite group”. The impediment to the “right of counsel” is the “license to practice law”. This monopoly must be abolished to protect “Sovereigns” from further “abuse of power” by those sanctioned to engage in “organized

crime” prohibited by R.I.C.O. 18 U.S.C. 1951(a)(b)(1) and (2). An Article III, “Judge” is a “Jurisconsult” as one learned in the law and should be willing to learn from everyone.

Maxims:

“It is inequitable to permit some to trade and to prohibit others to do so.”[163]

“Whoever is once bad is presumed to be so always in the same kind of affair.”[768]

“Written obligations are undone by writing, and the obligation of mere consent (or naked agreement) is dissolved by a bare consent to the contrary.”[761]

“A person who is once bad is always presumed to be bad in the same kind of affair.”
[632]

“Matters will throw light on other matters.” [707]

E. Plaintiff was taken before Robert E. Larsen, magistrate who refused to recuse himself over evidence of “conflict of interest” for issuing a search warrant without probable cause. (Deed) Refused to grant bail in violation of the 8th Amendment, (Deed) dismissed the challenge of jurisdiction (Deed) and proceeded in “treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381 without jurisdiction (Deed). Larsen asked me to enter my plea, I refused so Larsen entered the plea of “Not Guilty” for me (Deed). Then Larsen remanded me to custody, without warrant, probable cause, injured party, evidence of a crime, without jurisdiction or authority of law (Deed). This conduct establishes criminal conduct of “Kidnapping” 18 U.S.C. 1201, “Hostage taking” 18 U.S.C. 1203 and “Involuntary Servitude” 18 U.S.C. 1584 in violation of the 4th Amendment Right to be secure in one’s person against unlawful seizure (Deed). When jurisdiction was challenged, it was U.S. Attorney Brian P. Casey’s legal duty to establish jurisdiction of the court. When jurisdiction was not established it became the “legal duty” of Larsen to dismiss the case for lack of

jurisdiction (Deed). His failure to do so established fault 1 and 2, contractual fault and delictual fault.

“Injuries Caused by Deed”

Plaintiff’s arraignment by Robert E. Larsen, magistrate, was devoid of all rights secured by the “Constitution of the United States of America”. In addition to the faults presented the “right to contract” was violated by Robert E. Larsen when he refused the termination of Anita Burns and left her on the case as stand by counsel. Anita Burns had handled about 2,300 cases and only won a handful. She could have won them all with the lawful challenge of “jurisdiction”, this establishes Anita Burns is an active participant of the treason to obtain convictions, without jurisdiction or authority of law, by the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS”. Robert E. Larsen asked Plaintiff to “Plea” to the “Indictment” and Plaintiff refused. Robert E. Larsen entered a “Plea of not guilty” on Plaintiff’s behalf, without consent to make Plaintiff the “surety” of the proceedings in a clear act of treason for acting without jurisdiction.

Right to Justice:

The “Deeds” were done with malicious intent, by Robert E. Larsen, in criminal conduct under the color of law. Plaintiff is entitled to personal and punitive damages for the neglect, negligence, criminal negligence and torts of Robert E. Larsen who is accountable under “penal liability”. Many have claimed to be railroaded, but Plaintiff can prove it by the “Public Records”. Imagine, if you will, you know all the laws being broken, but yet you are in jail and the criminals are free to abuse you at will. This treatment caused great mental anguish, worry and stress, worrying about my life, my wife, my home, my freedom and liberty. Because I claimed “sovereignty”, I was mocked, belittled and treated with contempt by all government personnel whose job it is to serve and protect me. I suffered for two years under the tyranny of Robert E. Larsen who exercised unlimited power to cause me injury. Under the terms of “liability” normally civil remedy or criminal punishment is common, but in this case both should be applied.

Maxims:

“It is better to suffer every wrong than to consent to wrong.”[257]

“An exception (or plea) should not be made on the very matter of which a determination is sought (in the case at hand).”[343]

“It is unbecoming to surrender people when no cause has been shown.”[345]

“Who first offends causes the quarrel.”[628]

“Records are vestiges of antiquity and truth.” [698]

“What is otherwise good and just, if it is sought by force and fraud, becomes bad and unjust.”[637]

“Oppose beginnings. Oppose a thing in its inception in order to have any success against it.”[529]

“The defendant by a plea (or exception) becomes plaintiff. [723]

“Whoever is bad is presumed to be so always in the same kind of affairs.”[768]

“Matters will throw light on (other) matters.”[707]

F. The “Indictment” created in “fraud” 18 U.S.C. 1341 without jurisdiction or authority of law was based upon FBI Agent Van Sickle who fraudulently claimed he contacted the “New York City Federal Reserve Bank” and asked if Plaintiff had a “Private Bank”. (Deed) They responded, “I cannot discuss that with you.” FBI Agent Van Sickle assumed it did not exist and committed “Perjury” 18 U.S.C. 1621 by lying to the “Grand Jury” telling them Plaintiff’s conduct had injured hundreds of people by operating a fraudulent bank. In this cause of action, Plaintiff was persecuted by a court of no jurisdiction using “fiction of law” created by the praetor Robert E. Larsen who

orchestrated “Treason” to cover up his criminal conduct, in this cause of action.(Deed) This was clearly violation of due process of law required by the 5th Amendment. This conduct establishes fault 1 and 2, contractual fault and delictual fault.

“Injuries Caused by Deed”

The “Indictment” in addition to the faults, is “fruit of a crime” as proceeds acquired through criminal acts. U.S. Attorney Brian P. Casey, acted without a signed “Complaint”, lacked “standing” and acted in “fraud” to seek an “Indictment”. The “Forman” of the “Grand Jury” lacked authority to indict without a signed “complaint” and “competent fact witness to testify to the complaint. FBI Agent Van Sickle committed “Perjury” 18 U.S.C. 1621 by fraudulently claiming Plaintiff had injured hundreds of people by operating a fraudulent bank. All evidence presented for the “Indictment” is “barred” by the “fruit-of-the-poisonous-tree doctrine. The rule that evidence derived from illegal search, arrest or interrogation is inadmissible because the evidence (the fruit) was tainted by the illegality (the poisonous tree). This establishes criminal conduct with malicious intent of “Perjury” 18 U.S.C. 1621, “Conspiracy against rights” 18 U.S.C. 241, “Deprivation of rights under color of law” 18 U.S.C. 242 and “Treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381. The “Indictment” was challenged as such in pre-trial motions.

Right to Prosecution:

Plaintiff has established a “Court of Chancery” in the “Supreme Court of Missouri” a “Court of justice”, whereas “private prosecutor” has levied the crime of “treason” in this “Public Record”. Article III, Section 3. says “No person shall be convicted of treason unless...on confession in open court.” All those named in this cause of action are “Principals” of “Treason” and should be brought before the court for examination under “Oath”.

Maxims:

“The capability of offering proofs is not to be narrowed.”[97]

“Felony is implied in every treason.”[102]

“Enemies are those whom we declare war, or who declare it against us; all others are traitors and pirates.”[120]

“False in one thing, false in everything.”[98]

“The crime of treason exceeds all other crimes in its punishment.”[88]

“Let punishment be inflicted on a few, dread on all.”[497]

Note: Because all “Principals” named are engaged in the practice of law, within the boundaries of “Missouri” and as members of the “Missouri BAR Association” they are under the control of the “Clerk of the Court” of the “Supreme Court of Missouri”.
Summons should be issued for an “Evidentiary Hearing”, at the date and time established by the court. “Evidence” of “Treason” will be presented against each individual, for their confession in open court.

Maxims:

“What is proved by the record ought not to be denied.”[664]

“A traitor is punished that one may die lest all perish. [724]

“Felony, by force of the term, signifies any capital crime perpetrated with a malicious intent.”[101]

G. Robert E. Larsen, magistrate kidnapped and held Plaintiff hostage for two years without trial.(Deed) On Robert E. Larsen’s “Order” Plaintiff was put in “solitary confinement without phone, without mail, without commissary and without visit, this would last for seven months. This was an attempt to stop the lawful challenges to his jurisdiction and authority. During this time the court was flooded with motions created based on the “Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure” these demanded “Bail”, challenged

indictment and jurisdiction, sought production of complaint, search warrant with probable cause, arrest warrant with probable cause and challenged denial of speedy trial. By violation of the 5th Amendment Right to due process of law Robert E. Larsen executed “Orders” to inflict maximum cruel and unusual punishment in violation of the 8th Amendment. These included “solitary confinement”(Deed), “mental evaluation” at Littleton Colorado where plaintiff was housed with convicted felons (Deed) and “mental evaluation” at Butner, North Carolina where Plaintiff was housed with criminally insane convicted inmates (Deed). This was done with malicious intent to put Plaintiff in harm’s way. Plaintiff was declared competent in both evaluations and Robert E. Larsen was forced to allow Plaintiff to speak for himself. This conduct establishes fault 1 and 2, contractual fault and delictual fault.

“Injuries Caused by Deeds”

In addition to the faults presented, Robert E. Larsen maintained possession of Plaintiff for two years without trial, in violation of the 6th Amendment right to a speedy trial and denial of due process of law required by the 5th Amendment. This possession was unlawfully maintained to allow Robert E. Larsen to inflict maximum harm on Plaintiff by “Bills of Attainder” of a “Legislative Court” with no jurisdiction or authority of law. Because of the lawful challenges posed by Plaintiff, Robert E. Larsen issued a “Bill of Attainder” to put Plaintiff in “solitary confinement” with no visits, no phone, no mail and no commissary with malicious intent to break Plaintiff’s spirit and force him to submit to Larsen’s bogus authority. Most men would have broke by 7 months of solitary confinement, but Plaintiff did not break by the grace of God.

God’s Grace:

Most people in solitary confinement come to believe they are all alone, have nothing or nobody and contemplate suicide. While I suffered great mental anguish and worry about my wife and family, I found comfort in reading the Bible. The Grace of God was given to me every single day at 4:00 count. Every day at 4:00, 4 sparrows would appear

in my window. There was no food or any reason for them to be there, yet every day they appeared. I took this as a sign from God that every day He was with me. This Grace of God kept me from going insane.

At the next hearing before Robert E. Larsen, his malicious intent was exposed. Larsen said, "I'll end solitary confinement, if you stop filing motions." Plaintiff informed Larsen he would file an 8th Amendment violation for cruel and unusual punishment, if he did not lift the order of solitary confinement. Larsen lifted the order. Because Plaintiff refused to accept Anita Burns as counsel and fired her again, Robert E. Larsen declared Plaintiff mentally incompetent to represent himself and issued a "bill of attainder" for mental evaluation. Plaintiff was sent to Littleton, Colorado where I was housed with convicted felons for 4 days, until it was discovered Plaintiff had not been to trial and Plaintiff was transferred to solitary confinement. Plaintiff was given a series of tests on court procedure, which I passed with one mistake about the appeal process. These days were spent reading the Louis L'Amour collection, my passion for reading was sparked and would last throughout the incarceration. Plaintiff was in Littleton for the 4th of July and was feeling down because he always watched the fireworks of the celebration of our Nation's founding.

God's Grace:

Plaintiff was in a cell of no windows built in the early 1900s with a solid door to create a pitch black dungeon. The guards did not close the solid door, only the door made of bars allowing a view of the hallway. Across the hallway at the top was a window that I could only see sky during the day. God's Grace appeared again. At dark, a burst of fireworks filled the window and my faith in God was confirmed yet again, they lasted for over an hour it was the city display.

Plaintiff was found competent to speak for himself and sent back to Robert E. Larsen. Because Plaintiff was found competent to speak for himself, Robert E. Larsen was not satisfied and issued a "bill of attainder" for "complete forensic mental evaluation".

Plaintiff was sent to Butner, North Carolina and was housed with those deemed criminally insane for 7 months. Around Christmas I was losing hope and my wife, sister and friend came to visit me. My wife worked three jobs to earn the money to pay all airline tickets, rental car and hotel for a two day visit. This was done by my girl out of love and the desire to see me. This was our first visit in over a year. Her love for me is God's greatest gift.

During the time at Butner, Plaintiff was attacked by a sociopath where I suffered a broken nose, broken jaw and cracked eye socket. I woke up sitting in a pool of blood and everything I was wearing was soaked in blood. I believe the loss of this amount of blood would have killed most people, but by the grace of God I survived.

God's Grace:

God spared me the pain of this beating. From the first blow until I was totally healed, I felt no pain and took no pain killers. I believe this beating was preparation for the things to come, it taught me, "If I am not involved, it is not my business." this would serve me well, when incarcerated with the lifers.

After the beating, the "Captain of the Guard" came to see me and apologized for allowing the sociopath, out of the shew (solitary confinement). An hour after his release Plaintiff was attacked. The Captain assured me I would never see him again. Because of the attack the evaluation was completed and the Doctors determined denny ray hardin was competent and they believed mental evaluation was ordered to delay his trial. Because the evaluation was complete, Plaintiff was scheduled for transfer back to Robert E. Larsen. The morning of transfer I went to the holding cell and the sociopath was put in the cell with me, we were cuffed and shackled. Of course this caused great fear, the sociopath was 6 foot, about 200lbs. solid muscle. I believe this was done by the "Captain of the Guard" with malicious intent, in the hopes the sociopath would kill me this time.

God's Grace:

While at Butner, I developed a friendship with Guy Neighbors who was from Lawrence, Kansas in Butner for mental evaluation. We had many discussions about “foreclosures” that he was fighting. If you are fighting foreclosure, look up his podcast, he is a trusted authority. The next person put in the cell was my friend Guy. He started challenging the sociopath immediately and continued throughout the transport. The argued back and forth, I remained silent in fear. When we got to the county jail in Oklahoma, the sociopath was first in line to get off his cuffs and leg irons, I was still in cuffs and shackled. Since the sociopath would be free first, I believed he would be coming after me. Instead Guy was in the hall with him and the sociopath attacked Guy while he was still cuffed and shackled. The guards subdued the sociopath and put him in a different cell block, that was the last time I seen him. I truly believe if Guy had not been there I would be dead. Guy bled for me that day and suffered a busted lip. We were friends before, on that day we became brothers. Guy and I were sent back to CCA Leavenworth where we were in the same cell block. I believe this was God's Grace protecting me.

Plaintiff was taken back before Robert E. Larsen who was forced to allow denny ray hardin, sovereign to speak in his own defense. All pre-trial motions must be heard by the judge who is to hear the case. Robert E. Larsen sent the case to Fernando J. Gaitan, Jr. chief judge and his two year persecution of Plaintiff finally ended.

Right to Justice:

Every act of Robert E. Larsen was usurpation of the “Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure” lawfully established for the “District Courts of the United States” an Article III Judicial Court. All “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” are Article IV “Legislative Courts” and all acts of its officers to impose punishment is a “Bill of Attainder” prohibited by Article I, Section 9. The “imposter judges” have no authority of law to exercise a judicial process outlined in the rules. Every act of Robert E. Larsen was

an act of treason for acting without jurisdiction from the initial “search warrant” and every act over the next two years was done with malicious intent to injure the sovereign denny ray hardin. Robert E. Larsen’s conduct was reprehensible and demonstrated the total disregard for his “Oath of Office” and law governing his conduct. Plaintiff believes he is entitled to compensation for mental anguish, wrongful arrest, wrongful detainment, wrongful persecution under color of law, repeated violation of rights, denial of a speedy trial, denial of bail and repeated abuse of power without jurisdiction or authority of law. Robert E. Larsen by neglect, negligence, criminal negligence, gross negligence and willful malicious intent has acted by tort in “breach of duty” to create personal liability, liability, remedial liability and penal liability. Plaintiff seeks justice equivalent to the crimes perpetuated by this “Public Servant”.

Maxims:

“An action is not given to one who is not injured.”[5]

“It is the duty of justices to administer justice to everyone pleading before them.”[14]

“Punishment increases with repeated offense.”[78]

“An exposition that springs from the vitals of a cause is the fittest and most powerful in law.”[86]

“The crime of treason exceeds all other crimes in its punishment.”[88]

“It is the same thing to commit an act and not to prohibit it when you can; and he who does not prohibit when he can prohibit is at fault (or does the same as ordering it to be done).”[125]

“A person is to be judges impious and cruel who does not favor liberty.”[144]

“Impunity invites (an offender) to even worse offenses.[146]

“In high treason no one can be an accessory but only a principal.”[147]

“All presumptions are in favor of life, liberty and innocence.”[157]

“In general, whoever alleges anything, whether plaintiff or defendant, must prove it.”[162]

“In court no one is trusted except those sworn.” [165]

“A servant’s wrongdoing reaches the master. The master is liable for injury done by his servant. “[169]

“In criminal offenses, the intention is regarded, not the event.”[171]

“Everything is presumed to the prejudice of the despoiler.”[175]

“In all affairs indeed, but especially in those that concern the administration of justice, equity should be regarded.”[177]

“In things preparatory to trial, the plaintiff is favored.”[178]

“In the presence of the superior, the power of the inferior ceases.”[179]

“In whatever matter one offends, in that the person is rightful to be punished.”[180]

“Matters will throw light on other matters.”[707]

Note: Plaintiff is not limited to these maxims, there are over 900 that can be applied to all courts of this cause of action.

H. Because Plaintiff’s case was scheduled to be heard by Fernando J. Gaitan, Jr. chief judge, all pre-trial motions were transferred to him by Robert E. Larsen. Fernando J. Gaitan, Jr. violated all due process of law required by the 5th Amendment and all rights of a defense to evidence against the accused, he denied all pre-trial motions (Deed), withdrew from the case and assigned it to Gary A. Fenner, judge. As chief judge it was Fernando J. Gaitan, Jr.’s “legal duty” to oversee the conduct of his subordinates and

correct their criminal conduct, he chose to proceed without “jurisdiction” in “Treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381. (Deed) This conduct established fault 1 and 2, contractual fault and delictual fault.

“Injuries caused by Deeds”

In addition to the faults presented, Fernando J. Gaitan, Jr. neglected his “legal duty” to oversee the conduct of Robert E. Larsen causing damage to the sovereign denny ray hardin by allowing a fraudulent criminal persecution to continue in “Treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381 without jurisdiction. Because all “Pre-trial Motions” were grounded in rights of an accused, established by the “Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure” as “due process of law” protected by the 5th Amendment, neglect, negligence, criminal negligence and gross negligence were established by breach of duty to determine all pre-trial motions, a duty dismissed by Fernando J. Gaitan, Jr. in its entirety, creating liability, personal liability, remedial liability and penal liability. Among these pre-trial motions was a demand for “Bail” under the 8th Amendment by its dismissal Plaintiff suffered “involuntary servitude” 18 U.S.C. 1584, without bail, without trial, without relief, without remedy, without rights by an imposter chief judge acting without jurisdiction or authority of law. When Robert E. Larsen was finally removed from the case Plaintiff had hoped the persecution would end, but Fernando J. Gaitan, Jr. joined the, “Conspiracy against rights” 18 U.S.C. 241, “Deprivation of rights under color of law” 18 U.S.C. 242 and “Treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381 and is now subject to liability for his conduct.

Right to Justice:

Plaintiff is entitled to civil remedy or criminal punishment, by the terms of “liability” for injuries caused by negligence. Because “Treason” has been established “penal liability” is warranted.

Maxims:

“The capability of offering proofs is not to be narrowed.”[97]

“Felony is implied in every treason.”[102]

“Enemies are those whom we declare war, or who declare it against us; all others are traitors and pirates.”[120]

“False in one thing, false in everything.”[98]

“The liability follows the head or person. Liability to make good an injury caused by a slave attaches to the master.”[392]

“A party forcibly deprived of possession ought first of all have restitution.”[810]

“The crime of treason exceeds all other crimes in its punishment.”[88]

“Let punishment be inflicted on a few, dread on all.”[497]

“Matters will throw light on other matters.”[707]

I. At the first hearing before Gary A. Fenner, imposter judge Plaintiff challenged “jurisdiction and was denied.(Deed) Plaintiff asked for discovery of evidence requested in pre-trial motions. Gary A. Fenner denied the request stating, “Those matters were determined in pre trial hearing.” Plaintiff requested one subpoena for an “Audit of Account” in the “New York Federal Reserve Bank”. (Denied) Gary A. Fenner denied the request, without any evidence being presented, establishing violation of the 5th Amendment Right to due process of law and the 6th Amendment Right to compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in my favor. Gary A Fenner acted in “Treason” 18 U.S.C 2381 without jurisdiction to create a “court of impossibility” where Plaintiff’s bonds could not be proved legal.(Deed) Gary A. Fenner stated his malicious intent with the statement, “Subpoena is denied because that account does not exist.” Forcing Plaintiff to do the impossible, without evidence to establish proof, the bonds are legal. This established fault 1 and 2, contractual fault and delictual fault.

“Injuries caused by Deeds”

In addition to the faults, Gary A. Fenner at the first hearing set the stage for “political persecution” of the sovereign denny ray hardin. Plaintiff requested trial by “Jury of Peers” which Gary A Fenner denied and offered a “Jury Trial” instead. A trial by “Jury of Peers” is a sovereign’s protection against the lies of attorneys because the jury knows the character of the accused. Its legal foundation is based upon the theory, if government can prove to 12 people who know the defendant that he committed a crime that should be punished, they can turn him over to government for punishment. Thomas Jefferson said it best, “I feel safe in the fact my peers will always protect me.”

Maxim:

“A suit is a civil battle; just as the plaintiffs are armed with actions and , as it were, girded with swords, so (against them) the defendants are fortified with pleas, and defended as though through shields.”[763]

Because in all courts of the United States of America, a “Jury Trial” is a “Conspiracy against rights” 18 U.S.C. 241, “Deprivation of rights under color of law” 18 U.S.C. 242 and “Treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381. Truths are oppressed in pre trial hearings and then denied to be talked about in front of the jury. This conspiracy is by the prosecutor, defense attorney and judge to allow suppression of evidence and facts that if the jury knew would acquit. Instead prosecutors are allowed to lie to 12 people who do not know the character of the defendant and by “character assassination” convince them the defendant is the lowest thing to ever walk the earth and they must convict to protect society.

Based upon this knowledge, Plaintiff was given the option of a “Jury Trial” or a “Bench Trial” by an imposter judge who would convict for sure in a “court of impossibility”. In a “Jury Trial” the judge has the defense, “You were convicted by the jury.” Plaintiff spent a sleepless night in argument with himself. My decision was to

make Gary A. Fenner personally accountable for his “Judgment”. My intent for trial was to create a public record for appeal showing the crimes, for appeal to the Circuit Court.

The morning of trial, the sovereign denny ray hardin rejected Gary A. Fenner’s offer of “Jury Trial” and opted for a “Bench Trial” making Gary A. Fenner personally liable for his neglect, negligence, criminal negligence and gross negligence. Gary A. Fenner’s conduct caused injuries to the sovereign denny ray hardin, by forcing him to defend himself in a “Court of Impossibility” by a judge acting without jurisdiction or authority of law. These were challenged repeatedly and denied by Gary A. Fenner. Thus joining the “Conspiracy of treason” by allowing a case to proceed to trial without jurisdiction. Establishing liability, personal liability and penal liability with malicious intent to cause harm to the sovereign denny ray hardin.

Right to Justice:

Because Gary A. Fenner refused to make “U.S. Attorney Brian P. Casey and Patrick Daily establish jurisdiction for the court, every act of Gary A. Fenner was without jurisdiction in treason. Plaintiff believes “penal liability” is required.

Maxims:

“No one is bound to do what is impossible.”[25]

“From justice (as from a fountain) all rights flow.”[24]

“Acting and consenting parties will be subject to the same punishment,”[23]

“A person who does not prevent what he can prevent is considered to act.”[619]

“A person who can and ought to forbid a thing (as much as) orders it, if he keeps silent.”[627]

“What is proved by the record ought not to be denied.”[164]

“Records are vestiges of antiquity and truth.”[698]

“To know the laws is to observe not their (mere) words, but their force and power.”[758]

“Whoever is once bad is presumed to be so always in the same kind of affair.”[768]

“Matters will throw light on other matters.”[707]

J. Because of the treason present in this cause of action Plaintiff decided on a “Bench Trial” making Gary A. Fenner personally accountable for his verdict. Plaintiff could see the writing on the wall, Plaintiff knew he would be convicted before the trial started. Plaintiff requested “findings of facts and conclusions of law” these were denied.(Deed) Every prosecution witness, testified under “Oath”, they did not sign a complaint against me and they were not injured by me. After each witness, Plaintiff made the statement, “Let the record reflect this is not a competent fact witness for the purpose of the “Indictment”. Every time Plaintiff spoke his first statement was, “I object to these proceedings because jurisdiction is not stated on the record.” This challenge was denied every time by Gary A. Fenner who proceeded in “Treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381 without jurisdiction.(Deed) This trial was a “persecution” where Plaintiff had no rights to challenge the U.S. Attorneys Brian P. Casey and Patrick Daily. They were allowed to fraudulently prosecute without “Standing”, without “Complaint”, without “Injured Party” without jurisdiction or authority of law. These establish “Conspiracy against rights” 18 U.S.C. 241, “Deprivation of rights under color of law” 18 U.S.C. 242 and “Treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381. This conduct establishes fault 1 and 2, contractual fault and delictual fault.

“Injuries caused by Deeds”

In addition to the crimes and faults established, Gary A. Fenner sanctioned an illegal warrant by allowing illegally obtained evidence to be introduced at trial. Gary A. Fenner was aware of all the pre-trial motions and their challenges. This “fruit of a crime” included originals and copies of “negotiable instruments” stolen by force of arms by the FBI exercising a “fraudulent warrant” with malicious intent to interfere with commerce

by “Bank Robbery” 18 U.S.C. 2113 in a clear act of “Organized Crime” prohibited by R.I.C.O. 18 U.S.C. 1951(a)(b)(1) and (2). All evidence presented was “fruit-of-a-poisonous-tree” challenged in pre-trial motions “Denied” by Fernando J. Gaitan, Jr. establishing no evidence of a crime. By neglect, negligence, criminal negligence, gross negligence with malicious intent to deny Plaintiff protection of law and refusal to allow lawful challenges to the FBI, U.S. Attorney Casey and Daily, all violations were permitted, criminal and gross negligence which subject Fenner to liability, personal liability and penal liability.

Because every time Plaintiff spoke at trial his first statement was, “I object to the proceeding because jurisdiction is not stated on the record.” Every challenge was denied by Gary A. Fenner, without any response from U.S. Attorneys. Because over a hundred challenges to jurisdiction were made, in the course of a two day trial, and not established on the record. Gary A. Fenner had no jurisdiction to render “Judgment” (Bill of Attainder) as an Article IV “Legislative Court” fraudulently conducting a mock judicial process. The “Original Petition for Damages” has presented irrefutable proof, supported by Supreme Court precedence that establish the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS have no authority to exercise the judicial power of the United States. This “dispositive fact” is decisive of this legal matter; evidence that definitively resolves the legal issue of “jurisdiction”. The “jurisdiction fact statement”, required to exercise authority, is not on the record, thus establishing it does not exist. Thus establishing every act of Gary A. Fenner was without jurisdiction in “Treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381 by neglect, criminal negligence, gross negligence and negligence, this “legal fact” triggers liability, personal liability, remedial liability and penal liability. This fraudulent “Judgment” was usurpation of authority from 18 U.S.C. 3231 to punish Plaintiff without judicial process with a 13 year sentence, to have the headlines in the Kansas City Star Newspaper the sovereign denny ray hardin convicted. This conduct publically declared denny ray hardin a criminal, an outlaw and dead in law, a stigma that has been allowed to tarnish my reputation to date.

Right to Justice:

The enforcement of the fraudulent “Judgment”, by U.S. Marshalls, subjected Plaintiff to persecution, ridicule, mockery and contempt that were imposed by all “Federal Prisons Industries, Inc.” (AKA Federal Bureau of Prisons) employees for the term of the sentence. By being housed in a medium level prison Plaintiff was housed, with about a third of the compound, of violent convicted felons who were serving life sentences. These “lifers” had nothing left to lose and created a very volatile environment that erupted in violence on a regular bases. This subjected Plaintiff to a hostile environment where everyday his life was in peril, harm’s way and subject to conditions beyond his control. Only by the grace of God I survived. This was inflicted with malicious intent to cause harm and subject me to the possibility of death, by a fraudulent judge of no jurisdiction acting in “Treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381. These facts warrant “penal liability”.

Maxims:

“False in one thing, false in everything.” [98]

“Every jurisdiction has its boundaries.”[561]

“(Precedents) have value in the law to the extent that they represent justice.”[838]

“Where the forum(or place of jurisdiction) is, there accordingly is the law.”[876]

“Suppression of the truth (is equivalent to) the expression of what is false.”[830]

“Suppression of the truth (is equivalent to) the suggestion of what is false.”[831]

“Interpretation is always to be made in such a manner that what is absurd or improper is avoided, and so that the judgment is not a mockery.”[834]

“The part is bad that does not accord with its whole.”[860]

“What is beyond possibility cannot exist, and the reverse (what cannot exist is not possible). “[899]

“When one absurdity has been allowed, an infinity follows.”[904]

“Each and every one of the general principles is its own pledge of trust, and plain truth need not be proved.”[910]

“Principles prove: they are not proved. [528]

“Matters will throw light on other matters.”[707]

Right to Order of Court:

These facts and principles establish cause for the “Supreme Court of Missouri” to issue a “Cease and Desist Order” to all UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS to stop all fraudulent judicial process.

K. The faults continued to the 8th Circuit Court of Appeals, by Anita Burns, left on the case after fired repeatedly in open court, as stand by counsel. The “Trial Transcript” was ordered and paid for, then seized by Anita Burns who has retained it to date. This act was done with malicious intent to deny Plaintiff appeal based upon the facts of the trial. This was done to protect the “Treason” conducted under the color of law. But her treachery did not end with the theft of the transcript, she submitted an “Amicus Curiae Brief” usurping jurisdiction under 18 U.S.C. 3231, the only time this claim was made in the case. It is not part of the record and by the “Original Petition for Damages” is defeated as jurisdiction for the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS. Anita Burns is a “principal” of “Treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381, “Conspiracy against rights” 18 U.S.C. 241 and “Deprivation of rights under color of law” 18 U.S.C. 242 with defense attorneys like Anita Burns no prosecution is necessary, the defense will convict. This is the quality of defense counsel being given to the American people. This establishes fault 1 and 2, contractual fault and delictual fault.

“Injuries caused by Deeds”

In addition to the faults, Anita Burns’s conduct was clearly prosecutorial misconduct, neglect, negligence for breach of duty, criminal negligence and gross negligence, that establish liability, personal liability and penal liability. This case could have ended at the arraignment, if Anita Burns, a BAR member, had challenged jurisdiction. By her refusal, as one trained and educated in the law, under contract as defense attorney, acted with malicious intent to protect a fraudulent court. She knew the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT could not stand the challenge of jurisdiction. In many meetings with Anita Burns and her investigator, threats were used in an attempt to intimidate Plaintiff into allowing her representation all attempts to have Plaintiff relinquish his sovereignty were denied. It is commonly known among sovereigns, that when you accept representation of an attorney, you relinquish your sovereignty and declare yourself incompetent

From arraignment to sentencing, denny ray hardin remained sovereign, competent, in honor with clean hands, and acted in the face of tyranny with dignity and respect to expose the “Organized Crime” of the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS. Because of the “fraudulent judgment”, Plaintiff is considered by all courts, a convict, a criminal, an outlaw and dead in law, this stigma allowed all courts to ignore, deny, dismiss and disregard all facts, law and evidence challenging the “Bill of Attainder” of Gary A. Fenner. Anita Burns was instrumental in establishing this stigma by usurping jurisdiction meant for “District Courts of the United States” and presented it to the “8th Circuit Court of Appeals” as law 18 U.S.C. 3231 to give jurisdiction to the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS”, this was malicious intent to have a fraudulent “Bill of Attainder” justified. These facts establish liability, personal liability, remedial liability and penal liability.

Right to Final Decree:

Plaintiff has the right to a “Final Decree” of the “dispositive fact” the ‘UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS’ are Article IV “Legislative Courts” and as such have no jurisdiction or authority of law to conduct “judicial process” against a “sovereign”.

Possible Remedy:

All sovereigns are entitled to an Article III, “Judicial Court”, known as the “District Courts of the United States”. Because Congress created this mess, during the Truman Administration where they codified “Title 18” without a quorum present in violation of the Constitutional Mandate requiring a quorum to be present to conduct the peoples’ business. There will be a transition period while Congress cleans the egg of their face, the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS should be given jurisdiction over all illegals to determine asylum or deportation. All agencies that work with this Court, FBI, DEA, ATF, U.S. Marshalls should be made a part of “I.C.E.” and should only have jurisdiction and authority over illegals. It should be the legal duty of all officers to determine the sovereignty of the person. This can be done with two simple questions: Where were you born? and Where were your parents born? It should be lawfully established that these questions must be answered by all to determine lawful status of the individual.

Sovereign. A person born in a state of the United States to a parent born in a state of the United States.

Citizens. All other than sovereigns. There are legal citizens entitled to protection of law, but no citizen has the right to vote in our elections, only sovereigns have the right to vote. It should be made grounds for deportation to vote in any election as a “citizen”.

Sovereigns are entitled to rights, privileges and immunities. Citizens are not.

Sovereigns are entitled to public assistance. Citizens are not.

Sovereigns are entitled education. Citizens are not.

Sovereigns are entitled to public housing. Citizens are not.

Sovereigns are entitled to vote. Citizens are not.

Sovereigns can hold public office. Citizens cannot.

Sovereigns come first in all things in the United States of America. We pay taxes to support these programs to help other sovereigns. These funds are being given illegally to foreigners who have no rights in this country. Our Constitution is for sovereigns not foreign nationals who come here to milk the system and live free. They should be sent back to their country where they are sovereign.

Maxims:

“It is the duty of justices to administer justice to everyone pleading before them.”[14]

“An argument drawn from authority is the strongest in law.”[33]

“An argument drawn from an impossibility has the greatest validity in law.”[34]

“An argument drawn from what is unsuitable (or improper) has the greatest validity in law.”[35]

“The court of chancery is the workshop of justice.”[49]

“The designation of one is the exclusion of the other; and what is expressed prevails over what is implied.”[52]

“When the proof of facts are present, what need is there for words.”[48]

“Matters will throw light on other matters.”[707]

L. After exhausting all appeals, to the 8th Circuit Court of Appeals dismissed by the clerk, and “Certiorari” to the United States Supreme Court dismissed by the clerk,

Plaintiff filed his 2255 Appeal back to the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT where Gary A. Fenner ruled the Appeal from a case he presided over, against the rules of court that prohibits his review. Of course the 2255 Appeal challenging jurisdiction was denied by Gary A. Fenner to protect the “treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381 done by him without jurisdiction. This conduct established fault 1 and 2, contractual fault and delictual fault.

“Injuries caused by Deed”

In addition to the faults, the “Judgment” of Gary A. Fenner is a “Bill of Attainder” void for lack of law in support of delictual fault for failure to dismiss the case on appeal for lack of jurisdiction. The “Judgment” is prohibited by Article I, Section 9 of the Constitution that prohibits “Bills of Attainder” by the United States of America. This “Judgment” is evidence of a “fruit of a crime” the crimes being as follows:

wrongful detainment [Kidnapping 18 U.S.C. 1201]

wrongful prosecution [Treason 18 U.S.C. 2381]

wrongful conviction [Treason 18 U.S.C. 2381]

wrongful incarceration [Involuntary Servitude 18 U.S.C. 1584, Forced Labor 18 U.S.C. 1589]

libel, slander and defamation established by falsity and fault.

[with malicious intent criminal libel]

[with malicious intent character assassination]

[with malicious intent to discredit sovereignty]

[with malicious intent to establish an example of judges’ power over sovereigns in fraud]

[with malicious intent to damage reputation]

[with malicious intent to deny the right to life, liberty and freedom]

Right to Order of Court:

Plaintiff has the right to have the stigmas of his fraudulent conviction, publically removed and all rights of a sovereign restored. Justice requires exercise of authority to those who have done wrong accountable for their wrongdoing. The “Supreme Court of Missouri” is under “Contract” to provide “Justice” in this cause of action. “Justice” comes in three forms civil remedy, criminal punishment and Final Decrees of Judgment by an Article III, Constitutionally Chartered Court. Plaintiff claims the “Right” to an “Order” for the “restoration of rights” and “penal liability” to hold those accountable who have done wrong.

Maxims:

“Contracts that have been entered neither illegally nor with fraud must in all respects be observed.”[470]

“Postliminy (restoration of rights) imagines that a person who has been captured has never left the state. A person captured by the enemy, who later returns, is restored to all his former rights.”[507]

“The presumption yields to truth.”[519]

“When the form has not been observed, an annulment of the act is inferred.”[368]

“Earlier in time, stronger in right.”[532]

“Proofs ought to be evident, (that is) clear and easily understood.”[538]

“What speaks to one purpose ought not to be twisted to another.”[553]

“The process of law is heavy hardship; the execution of law crowns (or rewards) the work.”[540]

“When the extremes have been proved, the intermediate proceedings are presumed.”[539]

“It is in the interest of the state that crimes not remain unpunished.”[184]

“Guilt makes equal those whom it stains.”[65]

“Acting and consenting parties will be liable to the same punishment.”[23]

“One departs from settled rules of law, rather than let crimes and wrongs remain unpunished.”[696]

“The course of events reveals many things that in the beginning could not be guarded against or foreseen.”[705]

“Matters will throw light on other matters.”[707]

M. Public records establish proof, that for the duration of my sentence, every time Plaintiff was transferred to a new facility, “Habeas Corpus” was filed in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT that was supposed to have “jurisdiction”, all were dismissed by the Clerks. All “Dismissals” were appealed to the Circuit Court of Appeals who supposedly had jurisdiction over that district, all were dismissed by the Clerks. All “Dismissals” were appealed to the United States Supreme Court where all “Certioraris” were deemed legally correct, but dismissed by the clerks of the court. The Clerks operate at the direction of the “Chief Judge” or “Chief Justice” who have allowed “Obstruction of Justice” 18 U.S.C. 1503 to operate within their court in clear “criminal negligence” present in all these courts. This establishes fault 1 and 2, contractual fault and delictual fault.

Note: Every filing in court is a “contract” for justice by its acceptance. Failure to provide that filing to the judge or justice is “Obstruction of Justice” 18 U.S.C. 1503 aiding and abetting “treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381.

“Injuries caused by Deeds”

In addition to the faults, all courts of the “Judicial Branch” of the United States of America, refused due process of law and denied an unlawfully incarcerated person to challenge the authority (Gary A. Fenner) that bound him in “Involuntary Servitude” 18 U.S.C. 1584. The law of “Habeas Corpus” is clear, produce the body and prove you have authority to hold it. Article I, Section 9, of our Constitution says, “The privilege of the writ of habeas corpus shall not be suspended... By neglect, negligence, gross negligence, criminal negligence, with malicious intent, of all courts of the “Judicial Branch” by their refusal to perform their “legal duty” in “breach of duty” have become “principals of treason” subject to liability, personal liability, remedial liability and penal liability.

All crimes of treason now attach to these courts who had the authority to stop the treason , but allowed it to continue by the dismissal of a “habeas corpus” a lawful contract for justice. By their refusal, they relinquished authority in this cause of action for all time, they refused to answer a complaint establishing “inexcusable neglect”. (Unjustifiable neglect; neglect that implies more than unintentional inadvertence) seven times, they were given the opportunity to provide justice and seven times they refused. This establishes the “Right” to civil remedy that denies review of all courts of the “Judicial Branch” of the “Final Decree” of this “Court of Chancery” and their liability for damages.

Right to Order of Liability and Damages:

By refusing Plaintiff, protection of law, all crimes of Gary A. Fenner done in treason now attaches to the “principals” of the courts petitioned. These are easily found by a search of “PACER.com”, of Denny Ray Hardin, from May 10th 2010 to April 2022. The “Supreme Court of Missouri” has the option to “Order” civil liability by award of damages or the penal liability by punishment of the wrongdoer. Because Plaintiff is seeking justice, all awards of damages in the form of compensation, this Court deems justified should be “Ordered” paid to the order of Melinda Sue Harrington, for her

“pain and suffering” of living without her man for the 13 year sentence. She struggled every day to keep our home, property and life going without her partner. She was deprived of her life style, with malicious intent to inflict harm and cause loss of affection and alienation of affection by transferring Plaintiff to far away institutions where visits were limited to once every several years. She had to work 3 jobs, to pay the bills, taxes and keep money on my books in prison, while Plaintiff lived in poverty, she kept communication going by money on the phone and a little extra for commissary when she could. She covered all the fees related to the 21 petitions of “habeas corpus” offered to the courts accepted in contract for justice, all were denied in willful, wonton neglect, negligence, gross negligence, criminal negligence of established procedures establishing liability, personal liability, remedial liability and penal liability.

Right to Enforcement of “Order”:

Should the “Supreme Court of Missouri” “Order” civil remedy be applied, “personal damages” for pain and suffering and “punitive damages” high enough to establish wrong has been done and will act as a “detour ant” to all judges and justices solidifying violation of “Sovereigns” will not be tolerated. Plaintiff seeks a ten day time limit for compliance, because no appeal (except by Plaintiff) is possible in this cause of action. Plaintiff claims the “Right” to “cure” this debt without delay by “receivership” of all assets of the “Defendants”.

Maxims:

“Liberty is the natural power of doing whatever one pleases except what is prevented by law or force.”[248]

“Liberty is a priceless good.”[249]

“Freedom does not admit a valuation.”[250]

“Whoever is once bad is presumed to be so always in the same kind of affair.”[768]

“A person who is once bad is always presumed to be bad in the same kind of affair.”[632]

“Records are vestiges of antiquity and truth.”[698]

“It is better to suffer every wrong than to consent to wrong.”[257]

“The capability of offering proofs is not to be narrowed.”[97]

“Felony is implied in every treason.”[102]

“What is proved by the record ought not to be denied.”[664]

“Felony, by force of the term, signifies any capital crime perpetrated with malicious intent.”[101]

“Punishment increases with repeated offense.”[78]

“The servant’s wrongdoing reaches the master. The master is liable for injury done by his servant.”[169]

Note: In this cause of action, the servants are the judges and justices who are representatives of the authorities named as “Defendants”. The “Defendants” are liable to make good the injuries caused by their servants.

N. Because the crimes of Gary A. Fenner were committed within Jackson County, Missouri, Plaintiff filed a “Bill of Equity by Affidavit” Case No. 1916CV05668 establishing a “Court of Equity”. Marco A. Roldan acted by issuing summons and setting a hearing date. Defendant Gary A. Fenner was summons, served and failed to appear or answer the “Bill”. U.S. Attorney Jeffery Paul Ray usurped the “Federal Rules of Civil Procedure” 28 U.S.C. 1446 that allows a case to be removed to the “District Court of the United States”, Marco A. Roldan allowed a court of no jurisdiction UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT to seize jurisdiction without authority of law. (Deed) Jeffery Paul Ray acted in “fraud” 18 U.S.C. 1341 and “Obstruction of justice” 18 U.S.C. 1503 with

malicious intent to deprive Plaintiff of his 5th Amendment Right to due process of law and protect the “treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381 of Gary A. Fenner. This was carried out by Gary A. Fenner with malicious intent of clear “inexcusable neglect”. This establishes fault 1 and 2, contractual fault and delictual fault.

“Injuries caused by Deeds”

In addition to the faults, Plaintiff was denied the exercise of his rights established by filing “Bill of Equity by Affidavit” establishing “equitable right” (a right cognizable within a court of equity). Marco A. Roldan denied the “right to action”, (1. the right to bring a specific case to court.). By allowing U.S. Attorney Jeffery Paul Ray to usurp powers created for the “District Courts of the United States” Marco A. Roldan refused the “accrued right” (a matured right; a right that is ripe for enforcement (as through litigation.)) of Plaintiff to hold those accountable who have caused him injuries by violation of his “absolute right” (1. A right that belongs to every human being, such as the right of personal liberty; a natural right.) to what is “right” (1. That which is proper under law, morality or ethics <know right from wrong>. 2. Something that is due to a person by just claim, legal guarantee, or moral principle <the right of liberty>.) Jeffery Paul Ray acted with malicious intent to “Obstruct Justice” 18 U.S.C 1503, by transferring the case to an imposter judge, Ortie Smith, who acted in “treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381 without jurisdiction in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT to dismiss the case without hearing any evidence in clear violation of the “right to audience” (A right to appear and be heard in a given court.) All of these rights were violated by Marco A. Roldan to allow the stigma of Gary A. Fenner’s conviction to continue in treason. These acts establish neglect, negligence, gross negligence, criminal negligence and create liability, personal liability, remedial liability and penal liability.

Right to Justice:

JACKSON COUNTY, MISSOURI has played a significant part in this treason, from the wrongful imprisonment based on “fiction of law” that took liberty and freedom to allowing a court of no jurisdiction to seize a case from a court of equity. JACKSON COUNTY, MISSOURI is operated as an “Organized Crime Syndicate” prohibited by R.I.C.O. 18 U.S.C. 1951(a)(b)(1) and (2), that operate the same “treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381 as the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS. BAR members are allowed to violate law, dismiss rights, prosecute without personal or subject matter jurisdiction and allow miscarriages of justice to stand. JACKSON COUNTY, MISSOURI has abandoned its authority under the “Constitution State of Missouri” in favor of unlimited power without restraint. It is the “legal duty” of the “Supreme Court of Missouri” to supervise JACKSON COUNTY, MISSOURI and bring it back within its constitutional authority.

Right to “Orders” of Court:

1. The “Constitution State of Missouri” limits county courts to three members in Article VI, Section 7. JACKSON COUNTY, MISSOURI current has almost 100 (supported by taxpayers) judges in direct defiance of its lawful authority. Plaintiff seeking to protect “Public Safety” and seeks an “Order” to JACKSON COUNTY, MISSOURI to reduce its number of judges to three allowed by the “Constitution State of Missouri”
2. BAR members have created a “private police force” that operates “Criminal Activity” (the solicitation, intimidation or coercion of another to commit a crime chargeable by indictment) to generate cases for attorney profit. JACKSON COUNTY, MISSOURI granted “police powers” to the “JACKSON COUNTY DRUG ENFORCEMENT TASK FORCE, INC.” who acted without jurisdiction or authority of law to seize a 1977 Corvette Stingray, from my girlfriend Sherrie Lee Hyde, fraudulently claiming it was bought with drug money, when it was bought with cash from an insurance settlement. JACKSON COUNTY, MISSOURI issued a “Bill of Attainder”,

prohibited by Article I, Section 10 to all states, that prohibited all legal challenges to the “private police force”. Plaintiff seeks to correct past wrongs, by an “Order” of the “Supreme Court of Missouri” to JACKSON COUNTY, MISSOURI to return the 1977 Corvette Stingray and pay \$5,000,000 restitution for pain and suffering to Sherrie Lee Hyde. Granting police powers to a corporation is prohibited by Article XI, Section 3, “Constitution State of Missouri”.

3. Plaintiff claims the “Right” to protect “Public Safety” from “illegal taxation” by JACKSON COUNTY, MISSOURI, who doubled (about) “real estate taxes” for residents of Jackson County, Missouri of which Plaintiff is one. This is done to support the “Organized Crime Syndicate” of attorneys by “extortion” for the “right to own property”. This unlawful tax has been deemed fraudulent for lack of assessment of the property taxed. Residents are threatened with seizure of property if they fail to pay the “inflated taxes”. This “Organized Crime” is being carried out under color of law relying on “Fiction of law” as authority.

It could be argued that this is an organized scheme of BAR members to steal property under the color of law. By doubling taxes, the elderly and those living on a fixed income, cannot afford to pay these taxes. That will lead to allowing attorneys to buy the property on the court house steps, for pennies on the dollar. My house will be among them, because I refuse to pay the tax and if necessary will condemn the State for “organized Crime” under color of law.

Article VI, Section 23, “Constitution State of Missouri” prohibits the grant of public money to or in aid of any corporation or association. Plaintiff seeks “Order” of the “Supreme Court of Missouri” to rescind JACKSON COUNTY, MISSOURI’s authority to tax real estate until they are back within their Constitutional authority. Once the waste and fraud is removed residents’ taxes should be dramatically reduced. If not, a forensic audit will be sought.

Maxims”

“Deceit and fraud should excuse or benefit no one (they themselves require some excuse).”[61]

“Deceit and fraud should always be remedied.”[62]

“Fraud lurks in generalities.”[63]

“Execution of the law is its end and fruition.”[74]

“Unwritten law is that which custom has sanctioned.”[83]

“An exposition that springs from the vitals of a cause is the fittest and most powerful in law.”[86]

“Something expressed nullifies what is unexpressed.”[87]

“Impunity invites (an offender) to even worse offenses.”[146]

“The servant’s wrongdoing reaches the master. The master is liable for injury done by his servant.”[169]

Note: The master of JACKSON COUNTY, MISSOURI, is the STATE OF MISSOURI.

“In a novel case a new legal remedy must be applied.”[174]

“Everything is presumed to the prejudice of the despoiler.”[175]

Note: despoil.”To deprive (a person) of possessions illegally by violence or clandestine means; to rob.”

“In all affairs indeed, but especially in those that concern the administration of justice, equity should be regarded.”[177]

“In the presents of a superior, the power of an inferior ceases.”[179]

“The laws themselves desire that they should be governed by right.”[194]

“It is in the interest of the state to preserve peace in the kingdom and prudently to decline whatever is adverse to it.”[185]

Note: The law preserves the peace, corruption is adverse to it.

“Matters will throw light on (other) matters.”[707]

O. Plaintiff was still on probation, supervised by Laura Kline, a probation violation of “failure to have a mental problem needing treatment” was filed (Deed). Gary A. Fenner recused himself and David Gregory Kays, judge heard the violation(Deed). U.S. Attorney Patrick Daily sought 400 hours of community service for this violation (Deed). Plaintiff argued Laura Kline and the “so called doctor” of REDISCOVER conspired to require mental treatment. David Gregory Kays ordered a second evaluation from outside the district where it was determined no treatment was necessary. While David Gregory Kays imposed no sanctions for the violation but he threatened Plaintiff with 54 years in prison if Plaintiff filed anything else in any court (Deed). This was done in response to the “Judgment With Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law” exposing much of the “treason” presented here. This explains the lack of filing in the case from April 16, 2019 until April 28, 2022, after probation ended. Plaintiff, given the treason already demonstrated, believed the threat made in open court by David Gregory Kays made with malicious intent to stop Plaintiff’s exercise of his 1st Amendment right to petition government for redress of grievances and his 5th Amendment right to due process of law in a criminal case where Plaintiff was asking as “private prosecutor” (Deed). This establishes fault 1 and 2, contractual fault and delictual fault.

“Injuries caused by Deeds”

In addition to the faults, Plaintiff was subjected to enslavement to Laura Kline, for the duration of probation, Laura Kline abused her authority by imposing cruel and unusual punishment that were violations of policy. Plaintiff could not spend the night away from home, without permission of Laura Kline. (Curfew Policy is a part of home confinement that ended when probation began). Because of the imposition of curfew, Plaintiff could not go cat fishing at night, without permission of Laura Kline. (Policy allows freedom of liberty within the boundaries of probation, Laura Kline required permission for liberty at night, thus instituting home confinement for three years of probation). Plaintiff was subjected to repeated drug testing, by REDISCOVER, though no evidence of drugs was present. This was conducted by Laura Kline, based upon the fraudulent judgment of Gary A. Fenner, who ordered drug treatment when no drug use was present, issued in fraud 18 U.S.C.1001 without jurisdiction or authority of law in “Treason” 18 U.S.C.2381. Laura Kline delighted in her power to persecute, belittle, criticize and terrorize Plaintiff who was forced to live this demoralizing life or be returned to prison. This was done with malicious intent to cause mental anguish to the point, like most on probation, they just say fuck it, go out and get high , then go back to prison. This bad conduct was carried out by a “fiduciary” of probation with the stated purpose of returning Plaintiff to society of as a productive citizen. Because I retained my sovereignty, I was persecuted.

Definition:

fiduciary. 1. A person who is required to act for the benefit of another on all matters within the scope of their relationship; one who owes to another the duties of good faith, trust, confidence and candor...

The probation violation, designed by Laura Kline and REDISCOVER, was to force Plaintiff into mental treatment, ordered by Gary A. Fenner. Plaintiff refused. “No benefit is given to one unwilling. No one is obliged to accept a benefit against his consent.”[192] David Gregory Kays made threats to Plaintiff of putting me back in prison for 54 years,if

Plaintiff filed anything else in any court. This was done in open court, on the record, by a fraudulent judge acting without jurisdiction or authority of law, engaged in treason to enforce the fraudulent judgment of Gary A. Fenner. David Gregory Kays usurped jurisdiction and threatened to impose a 54 year sentence, without trial, without due process of law, without complaint, without jurisdiction or authority of law. This threat was believed; given the past conduct presented in this exposition of the organized crime of the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS.

God's Grace:

There were many times I wanted to give up, but only because of the love for my girl, did I carry on. During this probation period, I maintained full time employment for the entire period. I served the community by providing people decent living environments as a "maintenance man" for a large variety of apartments. This established I was leading a productive life and gave no cause to Laura Kline to persecute me. I had two probation violations during this period, the second I tested positive for THC. Because I am getting older and worked with my hands, they hurt, so I tried THC Hand Cream to relieve the pain, it worked great. But my next drug test I tested positive and was informed of this probation violation while in the hospital for a heart attack that required 5 stents in my heart. The combination of prison food and stress of probation almost killed me. It wasn't my time, God saved me for this fight to save Trump with or without his help. In his cases I can act as "Tribunal" as a disinterested party.

Probation Officer is a position of trust that works to restore the person assigned to them to a productive life. Most people with a ten year sentence come out of prison with nothing. No home, no car, no job, no future and the belief they are a loser. God blessed me with a Virgo, a "doer". Because of her efforts, I had a home to come home to, while it was falling apart home was still there. Most with a ten year sentence lose everything. My car was legal, licensed and insured waiting for me. She sold her 65 Mustang to keep it going but kept my Miata for me. Those who don't have a car are at a disadvantage to

meet the requirements of probation officers, such as the requirement to have a job. It is hard to find a job without transportation. My best friend Bobby had a job waiting for me. By God's grace, I am blessed with a great girl and a great friend who helped me when I could not help myself.

Right to Justice:

All those getting out of prison, who have lost everything, sovereigns, should be given a new car and a place to live. This should be the job of the probation officer. In the old days, a released prisoner, was given a mule, 40 acres, a twenty dollar gold piece and a shot gun. These were given for the means to start a new life, because they had paid their debt to society. Today's system of profitable incarceration, is designed to make the former prisoner fail and be sent back to prison. This is done by unlawful imposition of sentence, by imposing prison and probation, the stage is set for failure. The revolving doors of prisons, needs bodies to confine, slave labor to keep operations costs down for the profit of stockholders who own these prisons (most are judges and lawyers).

Right to Orders of the Court:

Because Plaintiff cannot act as "Tribunal" of his own case, unlike Gary A. Feener Plaintiff is living an honorable life, it is necessary for the "Justices" of the "Supreme Court of Missouri" to take action to establish "Justice" in this cause of action. If Plaintiff was "Tribunal", I would "Order" all judges of the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS, to "Order" the immediate release of all prisoners, who were prosecuted without complaint or injured party. Complaints can only be signed by a sovereign or lawful citizen. All complaints fraudulently signed by agents of government are null and void as fraud. Every "Indictment" without a signed complaint are "fraud". These empty cells can be filled with illegals awaiting asylum claims. Those granted asylum will be welcomed as "citizens", those denied are deported back to their country or origin.

The Court should “Order” increase of damages, to Melinda Sue Harrington, for the conduct of Laura Cline who acted with malicious intent to inflict pain and suffering under the color of law in breach of duty establishing neglect, negligence, gross negligence and criminal negligence. These wrongful acts establish liability, personal liability, remedial liability and penal liability.

Maxims:

“In discourse, it is not the point from which but the end to which it is drawn that should be regarded.”[193]

“A good judge should do nothing from his own preference or from the prompting of his private desire; but he should pronounce according to law and justice.”[200]

“A judge ought to give judgment according to the allegations and the proofs.”[201]

“It is the proper role of a judge to decide according to the allegations and proofs.”[216]

“In presumption of law, a judgment is given against one’s will.”[223]

“An oath is indivisible; it is not to be accepted as partly true and partly false.”[238]

“To swear is to call God to witness, and is an act of religion.”[231]

“In judgment credit is given to the swearer.”[232]

“In judgment the person who has sworn an oath is believed.”[233]

“In high treason no one can be an accessory but only a principal.”[147]

“The liability follows the head or person. Liability to make good an injury caused by a slave attaches to the master.”[392]

“Who has not gives not.”[616]

“A person who does not disapprove approves.”[617]

“A person who flees justice confesses guilt.”[99]

“The person of a human being can have no price put on it.”[95]

P. Plaintiff resumed filing in the “16th Judicial Circuit Court of Jackson County, Missouri”, an Article III, judicial court where Marco A. Roldan acted in negligence by refusal to adjudicate, establish justice and remedy in a “Court of Chancery”(Deed). In the court of record a “Documented Evidence Brief of Treason” was established and forestalled to protect the guilty. Because Marco A. Roldan should have known the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS lacked jurisdiction by the law presented in the “Bill of Equity by Affidavit”, it is clear he did not read the “Bill” and was just going through the motions (Deed). Because Marco A., Roldan refused to act in accordance with law, the “Original Petition for Damages” was filed in the “Supreme Court of Missouri” on November 13th 2023. To date the Clerk, at the direction of the Chief Justice, has forestalled giving the “Original Petition For Damages” a Case Number or docketing the case on CaseNet the public record of the court (Deed). This is a tort, grounded in negligence, in support of “treason”, by “Breach of Duty”. “Remonstrance Breach of Contract” (a commercial instrument RC 055 740 222 US) against the Clerk and Chief Justice was received January 9, 2024.(Deed) Treason carries a heavy penalty and Plaintiff hopes this will be corrected soon. This conduct establishes fault 1 and 2, contractual fault and delictual fault.

Note: The “faults” of the “Supreme Court of Missouri” can be “cured” simply by giving the “Original Petition for Damages” a Case Number and Docketing the case on CaseNet so it can be monitored.

“Injuries caused by Deeds”

In addition to the faults, Plaintiff has been denied his “right to action” to restore his reputation taken by “Fraud” 18 U.S.C. 1001, “Conspiracy against rights” 18 U.S.C. 241,

“Deprivation of rights under color of law” 18 U.S.C. 242 and “Treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381. All Courts to date have denied Plaintiff his “right to audience” the right to appear and be heard in a given court. Every act to “Obstruct Justice” 18 U.S.C. 1503 in support of “Treason” 18 U.S.C. 2381 is done in negligence subject to penal liability. The days of Article IV Legislative Courts prosecuting “fiction of law” establishing fraudulent “debt to society” are over. The law of “Sovereigns” is as follows:

God given Rights of “Sovereigns”:

A sovereign can only be prosecuted by a complaint signed by an injured party, subject to cross examination under oath. The only exception is the crime of killing.

A sovereign can only be “Indicted” by a sovereign who is named as “Plaintiff”, signed a complaint and gave testimony to a “Grand Jury”.

A sovereign can only be tried by “Jury of Peers”, people who know them. Defendant must provide list of peers.

A sovereign is entitled to jury recommendations for punishment, that must be followed by the judge.

A sovereign can only be given one of three punishments; incarceration, probation or fine.

A sovereign is entitled to an Article III Judicial Court, with proper jurisdiction, to conduct judicial process.

A sovereign has the Right to be punished with the same severity as the crime.

Note: Sentencing guidelines are “Abolished” as “Unconstitutional” lacking due process of law. These guidelines were used in Article IV Legislative Courts of no jurisdiction to conduct a fraudulent judicial process.

A sovereign is entitled to “Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law” that support the “Judgment” against them. Every “Judgment” without them is “fraud”.

A sovereign is entitled to counsel of his choice and by “agency” his counsel has the Right to be heard in any Court.

A sovereign is only bound by the precept of law, to live an honorable life, cause injury to no one and give every person his due.

Summation of Rights:

These are not new rights, they are the Rights established by God’s Law either by maxim or definition, and supported by our “Constitution of the United States of America” in “Contract” with all “Public Officials”. Since “Equity” and “Law” have been united, the “Remedies” and “Justice” sought are within the scope of the “authority” and “legal duty” of the “Supreme Court of Missouri” to supervise all inferior courts within the boundaries of “Missouri”. Because the issues presented are condemnation for treason present in all UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS, this court has the legal duty, to issue “Orders” to stop all “Fraudulent Judicial Process”

Right to Justice:

Plaintiff has established a “Court of Equity” and a “Court of Chancery” in the “Supreme Court of Missouri”. Plaintiff is entitled to “Remedy” and “Justice” as required by these authorities. Plaintiff claims the “Right” to a “Final Decree of Judgment” lawfully established by the “dispositive fact” that “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” are Article IV “Legislative Courts” with no jurisdiction or authority of law to conduct judicial process.

Right to Default:

Gary A. Fenner failed to respond to Plaintiff's "Bill of Equity by Affidavit" and all other challenges to his jurisdiction. In a "Court of Equity" Plaintiff is entitled to a "Decree pro confesso" "A decree entered in favor of the plaintiff as a result of defendant's failure to timely respond to the allegations in the plaintiff's bill. This is a trap because a decree pro confesso in an equity action admits only the material and well pleaded facts in the petition and does not admit the legal claims upon which the plaintiff seeks relief". This remedy is rejected, instead Plaintiff seeks "Default Judgment" in the "Court of Chancery" that effects an admission of pleaded facts and conclusion of law. Plaintiff claims the "Right" to "Default Judgment" under "inexcusable neglect", "Unjustifiable neglect; neglect that implies more than unintentional inadvertence. A finding of inexcusable neglect in, for example, failing to file an answer to a complaint will prevent a setting aside of a default judgment."

Maxims:

"Who has not gives not."[616]

"A person who does not disapprove approves."[617]

"A person who does not deny, admits."[718]

"Necessity makes lawful what is otherwise unlawful."[638]

"A person who is silent does not indeed confess. but yet it is true he does not deny."[635]

"What constitutes right, and wherein lies injury, it is the function of the law to declare."[604]

"Whatever is against the rule of right is a wrong."[600]

"Where law compels someone to show cause, it is necessary that the cause be just and legal."[881]

“Where anyone commits an offense, there will he be punished.”[896]

“What is beyond possibility cannot exist, and the reverse (what cannot exist is not possible.”[889]

“An oath has in it three components – truth, justice and judgment: truth in the party swearing, justice and judgment in the judge (administering the oath).”[738]

“Contract that have been entered neither illegally nor with fraud must in all respects be observed.”[470]

“(Precedents) have value in the law to the extent that they represent justice.”[838]

Q. If the faults are not cured.

Final Argument as Justification for Action

Maxim:

“The part is bad that does not accord with its whole.”[860]

In this cause of action, the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” are not a part of the “Judicial Branch”. Federal Rules of Court establish jurisdiction for the “District Courts of the United States” Balzac v. PortoRico and Mookini v. U.S. of the “Original Petition for Damages” establishes this dispositive fact. 18 U.S.C. 1295 gives jurisdiction to the “United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit” to hear appeals from the “District Courts of the United States”. There is no authority to hear an appeal from the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS”. These rogue courts have operated in fraud, by usurping jurisdiction of the “District Courts of the United States”. Because of these facts, the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” are bad, they have no jurisdiction, no authority of law, no authority to conduct a judicial process and no right to exist in the

“Judicial Branch” of the “United States of America”. It is time their reign of terror ended and justice was restored to the American people.

Respectfully Submitted,

denny ray hardin, living soul, sovereign
2450 Elmwood Avenue
Kansas City, Missouri 64127
(816)522-5587