

**In The Court of We the People of the United States of America**

**To**

**The Supreme Court of the United States of America**

<b>Sovereign American People,</b>	<b>] Mass Action</b>
	<b>]</b>
<b>Sovereign Plaintiffs,</b>	<b>]</b>
	<b>]</b>
<b>vs</b>	<b>] Subject: Public Safety/Treason</b>
	<b>]</b>
<b>UNITED STATES DISTRICT</b>	<b>]</b>
<b>COURTS</b>	<b>]</b>
<b>All Imposter MAGISTRATES,</b>	<b>]</b>
<b>JUDEXs and JUDGEs</b>	<b>]</b>
<b>Defendants</b>	<b>]</b>

**“Bill of Attainder”**

**COMES NOW**, denny ray hardin, Tribunal, acting as a “Sovereign Plaintiff” to expose the treason of the “UNITED STATES JUDICIAL BRANCH” for allowing fraudulent courts to conduct “Judicial Process” without “jurisdiction” or “authority of law”. The Article IV “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” have usurped “Judicial Powers” from the “District Courts of the United States” to

act without jurisdiction or authority of law in clear “treason” to the “Constitution of the United States of America” 1789 version. The “Foreign Agents” of the “Foreign State” of “BAR Associations” have carried out a coup to usurp powers from the “Sovereign People” of the United States of America to overthrow our Constitutional Republic. Through “Organized Crime” of “Treason” a “Conspiracy” is being conducted under the color of law to allow “Star Chambers” to fraudulently conduct fraudulent process fraudulently claiming it is due process of law required by the 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment. All imposter Magistrates, Judexs and Judges of the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS are subject to “Attainder” as “Traitors” conducting “Treason” under the color of law.

### **History of Coup:**

This coup began in 1871 when the original 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment, passed, ratified and published in 1819 banning all “Title of Nobility” from holding any “Public Office” of trust or profit, was removed from the “Constitution of the United States of America” without lawful “Repeal”. In 1878, the “AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION” was founded as a “Foreign State” who violated Article I, Section 9 and Article I, Section 10 of the “Constitution of the United States of America” by issuing “Titles of Nobility” of “Esquire” to its membership. Thus began the organized conspiracy of the “British Accredited Registry” to overthrow the

“Constitution of the United States of America”. Because the original 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment was not lawfully removed from our Constitution, it is a “Constitutional Mandate” to all government officials fraudulently holding “Public Office” as a “Foreign Agent” of a “Foreign State” in “treason” to the Constitution. Today’s “Constitution of the United States of America” is “incomplete” missing the original 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment as follows:

### **Original 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment**

"If any citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive or retain any title of nobility or honour, or shall without the consent of Congress, accept and retain any present, pension, office or emolument of any kind whatever, from an emperor, king, prince or foreign power, such person shall cease to be a citizen of the United States, and shall be incapable of holding any office of trust or profit under them or either of them."

**Star Chamber. 1.** *Hist.* An English court having broad civil and criminal jurisdiction at the king’s discretion and noted for its secretive, arbitrary, and oppressive procedures, including compulsory self-incrimination, inquisitorial investigation, and the absence of juries. The Star Chamber was abolished in 1641 because of its abuses of power. – Also termed *Court of Star Chamber*; *Camera Stellata*. **2.** (usu. l.c.) Any secretive, arbitrary or oppressive tribunal or proceeding.

## **Averments/Facts**

1. The “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” are “Star Chambers” conducting treason, under the color of law, without jurisdiction or authority of law.
2. All imposter “Magistrates”, imposter “Judexs” and imposter “Judges” of the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” refuse to honor the rights, privileges and immunities of the “Sovereign People” of our “Constitutional Republic” guaranteed by the “Bill of Rights” of the “Constitution of the United States of America” to every American born “Sovereign” of the United States of America.
3. The “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” conduct has created a “lawless system of justice” that has corrupted the “State Courts” by allowing violations of due process of law, denied remedy for injuries, deprived “Sovereigns” compensation for damages and obstructed justice to protect criminal conduct of “BAR Association” members engaged in “organized crime” (R.I.C.O.).
4. The imposter Magistrates, imposter Judexs and imposter Judges, act with malice, intent and knowledge to conduct “treason” knowing the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” have no lawful authority to conduct a “judicial process”.

5. All “Actors” of the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” have conspired to defraud taxpayers of “Public Money” in the form of compensation for conducting the “Constitutional authority” of a “Public Office” without jurisdiction or authority of law in clear “Treason” to the “Constitution of the United States of America”.
6. The “UNITED STATES DISRICT COURTS” allow members of the BAR Association to fraudulently testify before “Grand Juries” without “Complaint”, without “Standing”, without “authority of law” and without “jurisdiction” to “rape” the “Sovereign People” and seize their life, liberty and property without judicial process.
7. The Article IV, “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” allow “UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS” to fraudulent represent the “United States of America” in violation of the “Logan Act” to persecute the “Sovereign People” under the color of law without jurisdiction or authority of law. Trump’s Cases are perfect examples of this “organized crime”.
8. The Article IV, “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” have established the unlawful “common practice” of fraudulently claiming authority to issue “Bills of Attainder” fraudulently claiming they are lawful “Judgments” issued in a

“judicial proceeding”, this conduct is clear treason for acting without jurisdiction or authority of law evidenced by every “Judgment” issued under color of law.

9. All “Actors” of the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” are engaged in “treason” to the “Constitution of the United States of America” who have declared “War” upon the “Sovereign People” of our “Constitutional Republic” in clear violation of Article III, Section 3 by the “Foreign State” of “BAR Associations”.

10. The “Supreme Court of the United States” has been informed of this treason and has allowed it to continue by refusal to stop this “organized crime” of BAR Associations conducted under color of law.

**Attempts to Enforce Law:**

A. A “Chancery Court” of “Complete Jurisdiction” was established in the “16<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit Court of Jackson County, Missouri” Case Number: 1916CV05668 where a “Documented Evidence Brief of Treason” challenging the “jurisdiction” of the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI” was documented and has been forestalled by Circuit Judge Marco A. Roldan who has refused to act to establish a three judge panel to hear this cause of action. To date “Justice” has been denied in the “Chancery Court for Jackson

County, Missouri”, Plaintiff has been deprived of “remedy” to protect the “Organized Crime” of the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS”.

**B.** The “Commanding Officer” of “Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri” Major General James E. Bonner was informed of this “treason” and refused to act to enforce the “Supreme Law of the Land”, by refusing a request for a “Military Tribunal” to hear evidence of “treason”. The Major General has the lawful duty to defend and protect the “Constitution of the United States of America” against all enemies foreign and domestic, a “lawful obligation” he has failed to perform to date, thus aiding and abetting treason, by refusal to enforce law.

**C.** Jim Jordon, Chairman, House Judiciary Committee of the “United States House of Representatives” has been informed of this treason in “Remonstrance For Impeachment of Joseph Robinette Biden, Jr.” stating the “Commander in Chief” has refused to enforce the laws of the United States of America. Jim Jordon aided and abetted this treason by ignoring facts, law and evidence establishing grounds for impeachment. To date, justice has been denied, deprived and dismissed to further treason with malice, intent and knowledge.

**D.** Plaintiff acted as “Tribunal” to render “Judgment with Findings of Facts and Conclusion of Law” in the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA” Case No. 23-80101-CR- CANNON (Trump’s Case)

where the Clerk has refused to make it a part of the “Public Record” thus “Obstructing Justice” to aid and abet “treason” of the court to proceed without jurisdiction or authority of law. This is now filed “In the Court of We the People of the United States of America” (“Court of Record”) establishing “Treason” by imposter Judge Cannon for conducting “judicial process” without “jurisdiction” or “authority of law”. To date this “treason” continues.

E. Plaintiff acting as a “Tribunal” in the capacity of “Sovereign Plaintiff” issued a “Bill of Attainder” on the UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES and UNITED STATES SENATE establishing they are “dead in law” until Article III, “District Courts of the United States” are restored to the “Sovereign People” of the “United States of America”. This directive was issued to the “Supreme Court of the United States” who is lawfully obligated to enforce the Constitution and laws of the United States on all within the United States of America. To date the “Supreme Court of the United States” has ignored these lawful obligations to allow the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS to continue their treason.

**Note:** All the above documents may be read in their entirety on my website

<https://Americansrepublicparty.org>



Each of these government entities share the responsibility to enforce the laws of the United States of America, all have failed this lawful obligation and aided and abetted treason to give credibility to the fraudulent UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS by allowing them to continue without jurisdiction.

**Authorities Relied Upon:**

**Are UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS Article III Courts?**

**Answer: No.**

**Source: Supreme Court Precedence**

"The United States District Court is not a true United States Court established under Article III of the Constitution to administer the Judicial power of the United States therein conveyed. It is created by virtue of the sovereign congressional faculty, granted under 4,3, of that instrument, of making all needful rules and regulations respecting the territory belonging to the United States. The resemblance of its jurisdiction to that of true United States courts in offering an opportunity to non-residents of resorting to a tribunal not subject to local influence, does not change its character as a mere territorial court." [Balzac v. Porto Rico, 258 U.S. 298, 43 S.Ct. 343 (1922) Emphasis added]

"The term 'District Court of the United States', as used in the rules, without an addition expressing a wider connotation, has its historic significance. It describe the Constitutional courts created under Article 3 of the Constitution. Courts of the Territories are legislative courts, properly speaking and are not District Courts of the United States. We have often held that vesting a territorial court with jurisdiction similar to that vested in the District Courts of the United States does not make it a District Court of the United States. *Reynolds v. United States*, 98 U.S. 145, 154, 25 L.ed 1041; *The City of Panama*, 101 U.S. 453, 460, 25 L.Ed 1061; *In re Mills*, 135 U.S. 263, 268, 10 S.Ct. 762, 34 L.Ed 107; *McAllister v. United States*, 141 U.S. 174, 182, 11 S.Ct. 949, 35 L.Ed 693; *Stephens v. Cherokee Nation*, 174 U.S. 445, 476, 477, 19 S.Ct. 722, 43 L.Ed 1041; *Summers v. United States*, 231 U.S. 92. 101, 102, 34 S.Ct. 38, 52 L.Ed3 137; *United States v. Burroughs*, 289 U.S. 159, 163, 53 S.Ct. 574, 77 L.Ed 1096. Not only did the promulgating order use the term District Courts of the United States in its historic and proper sense, but the omission of provision for application of the rules to the territorial courts and other courts mentioned in the authorizing act clearly shows the limitation that was intended." [*Mookini v. U.S.* 201, 58 S.Ct. 543 (1938)]

**Do UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS have jurisdiction to conduct criminal prosecution?**

**Answer: No.**

**Source: FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE**

18 U.S.C. 3231

"The district courts of the United States shall have original jurisdiction, exclusive of the courts of the States, of all offenses against the laws of the United States."

**Legal Maxims:**

"The appointment of justices is by the king, but their ordinary jurisdiction is by the law."

"It is the same thing not to be as not to appear. What does not appear on the record is considered nonexistent."

"It is the same thing not to be proved and not to exist; the law is not deficient but the proof."

**Note:** It is clear the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS were given no jurisdiction to conduct criminal prosecution. Instead the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS usurped "jurisdiction" from the "District Courts of the United States" to operate "Star Chambers" under the color of law.

**Can UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS issue lawful “Judgments”?**

**Answer: No.**

**Source: Supreme Court Precedence/Case Law**

"Bill of attainder. Legislative acts, no matter what their form, that apply either to named individuals or to easily ascertainable members of a group in such a way as to inflict punishment on them without a judicial trial. *United States v. Brown*, 381 U.S. 437, 448-49, 85 S.Ct. 1707, 1715, 14 L.Ed 484, 492; *United States v. Lovett*, 328 U.S. 303, 315, 66 S.Ct. 1073, 1079, 90 L.Ed 1252. An act is a "bill of pains and penalties" when the punishment is less severe; both kinds Section 9 Cl. 3 (as to Congress); Art. I, Sect. 10 (as to State Legislatures)." [Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition p. 165]

**Note:** Since the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS were created under Article IV, Clause 3 of the Constitution of the United States of America, they are Legislative Courts and all acts, no matter what their form, are “Bills of Attainder” prohibited to be issued by all Federal government (Article I, Section 9, Clause 3).

Black’s Law Dictionary, Eighth Edition Page 137. – attainder “1. At common law, the act of extinguishing a person’s civil rights when that person is sentenced to death or declared an outlaw for committing a felony or treason.” “The word attainder is derived from the Latin term *attinctus*, signifying stained or polluted and

includes in its meaning all those disabilities which flow from a capital sentence.

On the attainder, the defendant is disqualified to be a witness in any court, he can bring no action, nor perform any of the legal functions which before he was admitted to discharge; he is, in short, regarded as dead in law.”

**Note:** To date the “Bill of Attainder” issued by imposter District Judge Gary A.

Fenner of the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN

DISTRICT OF MISSOURI has been honored in every court including the

“Supreme Court of the United States” who dismissed Seven “Writs of Habeas

Corpus”, challenging jurisdiction, dismissed by Clerks of court, to allow treason to

continue in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS.

**Is there law in the United States of America?**

**Answer: No.**

**Source: Conduct of government officials presented to enforce law.**

Law only exists where it is enforced equally on all. Today in America the law is

utilized as a tool of corrupt government to maintain power over the “Sovereign

People”. The first step of any lawful court to act is personal and subject matter

jurisdiction. Once challenged it must be proved.

**Black’s Law Dictionary, 8<sup>th</sup> Edition.**

**jurisdictional fact.** (usu. pl.) A fact that must exist for a court to properly exercise its jurisdiction over a case, party or thing. See JURISDICTIONAL FACT DOCTRINE.

**jurisdictional-fact doctrine.** *Administrative law.* The principle that if evidence is presented challenging the factual findings that triggered an agency's action, then the court will review the facts to determine whether the agency had authority to act in the first place. This doctrine is generally no longer applied. Cf. CONSTITUTIONAL-FACT DOCTRINE. [Cases: Administrative Law and Procedure{key}795. C.J.S. *Public Administrative Law and Procedure* {SS} 241.]

#### **Precedence of United States Supreme Court.**

“We [Judges] have no more right to decline the exercise of jurisdiction which is given, than to usurp that which is not given. The one or the other would be treason to the Constitution.” U.S. v. Will, 449 U.S. 200, 216, 101 S.Ct. 471, 66L.Ed.2d, 392, 406 (1980); COHENS v. VIRGINIA 19 U.S. 264,404, 5L.Ed. 257, 6 Wheat, 264 (1821).

#### **Case Law:**

"But when a judge knows that he lacks jurisdiction, or acts in the face of clearly valid statutes or case law expressly depriving him of jurisdiction, judicial immunity is lost. See *Bradly v. Fisher*, 80 U.S. (13 Wall) at 351 ("when the want of jurisdiction is known to the judge, no excuse is permissible") *Turner v. Raynes*,

611 F.2d 92.95 (5th Cir 1980) (Stump is consistent with the view that "a clearly inordinate exercise of unconfirmed jurisdiction by a judge - one so crass as to establish that he embarked on it either knowingly or recklessly - subjects him to personal liability')." [Rankin v. Howard, 633 F.2d 844 (1980)].

**Legal Maxims:**

“When the reason of the law ceases, the law itself also ceases.”

“To destroy that which was previously built and made is utterly to overturn and wreck it; to destroy is to overturn and demolish what was built and done before. This is the maxim cited against any type of revolutionary action.”

“Laws were made lest the stronger should have unlimited power.”

“An office ought to be injurious to no one.”

“A person who does not prevent what he can prevent is considered to act.”

**Does the “Supreme Court of the United States” have jurisdiction?**

**Answer: Yes.**

**Source: Article III, Section 2, Clause 2, Constitution of the United States of America.**

“In all cases affecting ambassadors, other public ministers and consuls, and all those in which a state shall be party, the Supreme Court shall have original jurisdiction.”

**Note:** All lawful, Article III, “Judges” of the “District Courts of the United States” have “Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction” under which they are “ministers” of law.

**Does “Sovereign Plaintiff” have “standing” to lead this “mass action”?**

**Answer: Yes.**

As a “Defendant” in the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI” Plaintiff challenged “jurisdiction” in his first appearance (May 10, 2010) before imposter Magistrate Robert E. Larsen, who denied the challenge and proceeded without jurisdiction in a clear act of treason. Defendant then challenged jurisdiction throughout the course of the trial where he was “Ordered Guilty” by imposter Judge Gary A. Fenner, who denied the challenge of jurisdiction , acted without jurisdiction in treason and sentenced Defendant to 13 years, 10 years of incarceration and 3 years of probation. Defendant served everyday of this unlawful sentence. In many different jurisdictions (evidenced by “Case Net”) the “Bill of Attainder” (in the form of “Judgment”) jurisdiction was lawfully challenged and those challenges were denied, ignored and dismissed. These included Seven Certiorari filed and dismissed by the Clerks of the “Supreme Court of the United States”. The Clerks also dismissed Seven “Writs of Habeas Corpus” to protect the corruption of their fellow “Foreign Agents” of the “Foreign State” of BAR Associations. The record



of these proceedings clearly show, not once in the last 17 years, does a statement of jurisdiction appear on the record. Therefore as an “Injured Party” who suffered unlawful incarceration, in violation of the 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right to due process of law, has standing to speak on behalf of all prisoners unlawfully incarcerated by this “Organized Crime” of the “Judicial Branch”. Because this “Organized Crime” is a threat to “Public Safety” and “National Security” Plaintiff has “standing” to lead this “Mass Action” to restore Article III “District Courts of the United States” and “Lawful Judges” to the “Sovereign People” of the “United States of America”.

### **DEMAND FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

1. Sovereign Plaintiffs demand an “Order” of the “Supreme Courts of the United States” to all Article IV “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” to cease and desist all fraudulent “judicial process” civil and criminal for lack of jurisdiction.
2. Sovereign Plaintiffs demand an “Order” of the “Supreme Court of the United States” to cease all compensation of all Officers of the “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS” regardless of their position for engaging in “Fraud” under the color of law and treason for acting without jurisdiction.
3. Sovereign Plaintiffs demand an “Order” of the “Supreme Court of the United States” to all “United States Attorneys” of the “DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE” to

cease all filings, civil and criminal, these individuals are declared “outlaws” subject to “attainder” stopping their “organized crime” to protect “Public Safety” is the highest obligation of the “Supreme Court of the United States”.

4. Sovereign Plaintiffs demand an “Order” of the “Supreme Court of the United States” to release all prisoners held by fraudulent “Bills of Attainder”, (Judgments) in the “FEDERAL PRISONS INDUSTRIES, INC.” (aka FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS) for violation of the 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right to due process of law. All violent criminals must be returned to the State, of their crimes, for retrial by a lawful State Court with proper jurisdiction.

5. Sovereign Plaintiffs demand an “Order” of the “Supreme Court of the United States” re-establishing the “United States of America” is a “Constitutional Republic” where the people hold the “Sovereign Power” of our Nation by our Right to vote.

### **LIMITATION FOR COMPLIANCE**

Because everyday members of the “Sovereign People” are being violated and denied due process of law required by the 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment of our “Constitution of the United States of America” this cause of action is a matter of “National Security” it must be dealt with immediately. Therefore, the “Supreme Court of the

United States” is given ten (10) calendar days, to comply with these lawful demands, failure to do so, will constitute aiding and abetting “treason” of the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS. The deadline will start the minute this registered letter is delivered by the “United States Postal Service” to the mail room of the “Supreme Court of the United States”. It will be marked time sensitive and the Clerks are lawfully obligated to deliver this document to the “Supreme Court Justices” without denial or delay.

### Bills of Attainder

Article I, Section 9, Clause 3 prohibits passing any “Bill of Attainder” by the United States Government. Article I, Section 10, Clause 1, prohibits passing any “Bill of Attainder” by any State of the United States of America. By the Tenth Amendment, “The powers not delegated to the United States by the constitution, nor prohibited by it to the states, are reserved to the states respectively, or to the people.” Therefore the people retain the power to stop abuses of power by corrupt government officials acting without authority of law by “Bill of Attainder” declaring them dead in law to protect “Public Safety” from further abuse of power. The “necessity” of “public safety” requires this “Bill of Attainder” be enforced until the Article III, “District Courts of the United States” are restored to the “Sovereign People” of our “Constitutional Republic”. “Necessity dictates what is


unlawful is made lawful by necessity.” The “necessity” of this cause of action is “Public Safety”.

Therefore, as of this 5<sup>th</sup> day of August, of the year of our Lord 2023, the “Supreme Court of the United States” is lawfully obligated to enforce the law establishing UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS are fraudulent and all imposter Magistrates, Judexs and Judges of these courts are officially declared “outlaws” and dead in law for engaging in treason by acting without jurisdiction or authority of law.

### **Statement of Truth**

I, denny ray hardin, do hereby certify that the foregoing is the, truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth to the best of my knowledge and ability. So help me God.

Respectfully Submitted,

  
denny ray hardin, Tribunal  
DBA DENNY RAY HARDIN, CEO, Agent  
2450 Elmwood Avenue  
Kansas City, Missouri 64127  
(816)231-2258

**Note:** If you agree with this cause of action and wish to join in the Mass Action to restore Article III, “District Courts of the United States” and “Lawful Judges” then sign below and mail a copy to the Supreme Court of the United States as follows:

Supreme Court of the United States  
1 First St NE,  
Washington, DC  
20543

---